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We study eigenfunctions of a complete, noncompact Riemannian manifold and investigate how they blow up at infinity. Our estimate is also related to the size of the manifold at infinity when the Ricci curvature is nonnegative.
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Introduction

Let $M$ be a $m$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold, and denote the Laplacian of $M$ by $\Delta_M$, where $\Delta_M = -\text{div grad}$. In [1] and [2], Borbély studies positive eigenfunctions on $M$ under the condition that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is bounded below by $-(m-1)k^2$, $(k \geq 0)$, and shows among other things that a positive eigenfunction $u$ of nonpositive eigenvalue $-\mu$, that is, a positive solution $u$ of equation: $\Delta_M u = -\mu u$ satisfies

$$-\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + \mu} \leq \sup_M |\nabla \log u| \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + \mu},$$

where $\lambda_k = \frac{(m-1)k^2}{4}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate how eigenfunctions of negative eigenvalues blow up at infinity.

To state our main results, we fix a point $o$ of $M$ and denote by $B_r(o)$ the metric ball of radius $r$ around $o$.

**Theorem 1.** Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $m$ with Ricci curvature bounded below by $-(m-1)k^2$, $(k \geq 0)$.

Let $u$ be an eigenfunction on $M$ with negative eigenvalue $-\mu$. Then

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \max_{B_r(o)} |u|}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + \mu},$$

where $\lambda_k = \frac{(m-1)k^2}{4}$.

In the case where the Ricci curvature of $M$ is nonnegative, we can see a certain relation between the growth of positive eigenfunctions on $M$ and the size of $M$ at infinity. In fact, we prove the following

**Theorem 2.** Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $m$ with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Suppose that the diameter of the metric sphere $\partial B_r(o)$ of radius $r$ around a fixed point $o \in M$ is bounded above by $ar$ for some positive constant $a$ and any large $r$. Let $u$ be a positive eigenfunction of $M$ with negative eigenvalue $-\mu$. Then

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \min_{B_r(o)} u}{r} \geq (1 - a)\sqrt{\mu}.$$

In particular, every positive eigenfunction of negative eigenvalue exponentially blows up at infinity if $a < 1$.
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1. Preliminaries

Let $f(r)$ be a monotone increasing smooth function defined on $[0, +\infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Given an integer $m \geq 2$ and a number $\mu > 0$, consider the ordinary differential equation:
\[
\phi'' + (m - 1) \frac{f'}{f} \phi' = \mu \phi.
\]  
(2)

subject to the initial condition:

\[
\phi(0) = 1, \quad \phi'(0) = 0.
\]  
(3)

By introducing a differential operator \( E_f = f^{m-1}(r) \frac{d}{dr} \), the ordinary differential Eq. (2) is equivalent to the following

\[
E_f^2 \phi = \mu f^{2(m-1)} \phi.
\]  
(2')

We denote by \( \phi_{\mu, f} \) the unique solution of (2) with (3). Then it is easy to see that \( \phi_{\mu, f} \) is positive and increasing in \([0, +\infty)\) by the change of variables. In addition, we have

**Lemma 3.**

(i) If \( \int_R^\infty \left( \int_R^\infty f^{m-1}(y) dy \right) dz = \infty \) for some \( R > 0 \), then \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) diverges to infinity as \( r \to \infty \).

(ii) If \( \int_R^\infty \left( \int_R^\infty f^{m-1}(y) dy \right) dz < \infty \) and \( \int_R^\infty (1/f^{m-1}(s)) ds < \infty \) for some \( R > 0 \), then \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) is bounded as \( r \to \infty \).

**Proof.** By integrating (2') from \( R \) to \( r \), we have

\[
E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(r) - E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(R) = \mu \int_R^r \phi_{\mu, f} f^{m-1} ds.
\]

Since \( E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(R) > 0 \) and \( \phi_{\mu, f}(s) \leq \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \) for \( s \geq R \), we get

\[
E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \geq \mu \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \int_R^r f^{m-1} ds,
\]

which shows

\[
\phi'_f(r) \geq \mu \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \frac{\int_R^r f^{m-1} ds}{f^{m-1}(r)}.
\]

Thus integrating this, we obtain

\[
\phi_{\mu, f}(r) \geq \phi_{\mu, f}(R) + \mu \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \int_R^r f^{m-1}(s) ds
\]

for \( r > R \).

This implies the first assertion (i).

On the other hand, since \( \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \leq \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \), we have

\[
E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(r) - E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \leq \mu \phi_{\mu, f}(R) \int_R^r f^{m-1} ds,
\]

and hence

\[
\frac{\phi'_f(r)}{\phi_{\mu, f}(r)} \leq \frac{E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(R)}{\phi_{\mu, f}(r) f^{m-1}(r)} + \mu \int_R^r f^{m-1} ds
\]

Integrating this, we obtain

\[
\log \frac{\phi_{\mu, f}(r)}{\phi_{\mu, f}(R)} \leq \frac{E_f \phi_{\mu, f}(R)}{\phi_{\mu, f}(r) f^{m-1}(s)} ds + \mu \int_R^r f^{m-1}(s) ds
\]

from which the second assertion (ii) follows. \( \square \)

Given a monotone increasing smooth function \( f \) on \([0, +\infty)\) satisfying \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f'(0) = 1 \), we denote by \( M_f \) the warped product \( \mathbb{R} \times_S S^{m-1} \) with a Riemannian metric \( dr^2 + f^2(r)d\theta^2 \), where \( d\theta^2 \) is the standard metric of the Euclidean unit sphere \( S^{m-1} \). Let \( r \) be the distance in \( M_f \) to the pole \( o \). Then for a positive number \( \mu \), the function \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) is an eigenfunction of \( M_f \) with negative eigenvalue \(-\mu\), that is, \( \Delta_M \phi_{\mu, f}(r) = -\mu \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \), such that \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) = 1 \) at \( o \). We recall here that the radial curvature (relative to the pole \( o \)) is given by \(-f''(r)/f(r)\). Given a nonnegative constant \( k \), let \( f_k(r) = r \) for \( k = 0 \) and \( f_k(r) = k^{-1} \sin kr \) for \( k > 0 \). Then the warped product \( M_{f_k} \) of the warping function \( f_k \) is nothing but the simply connected space form \( M(-k^2) \) of constant curvature \(-k^2\).

**Lemma 4.** Let \( r \) be the distance function to a point \( o \) of \( M(-k^2) \) and \( \phi_{\mu, f_k}(r) \) the (unique) positive eigenfunction of \( M(-k^2) \) (which is rotationally symmetric around \( o \) and satisfies \( \phi_{\mu, f_k}(r) = 1 \) at \( o \)). Then
Proposition 2.15) that

\[
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \phi_{\mu, f}(r)}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + \mu},
\]

where \( \lambda_k = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \).

Proof. Let \( \bar{\phi}(r) = e^{\sqrt{\mu}r} \), where \( \sqrt{\mu} = (-\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + (1 + \epsilon)\mu})/(1 + \epsilon) \) and \( \epsilon \) is a positive constant. Let \( \Psi(r) = \phi_{\mu, f}(r)/\phi_{\mu, \bar{f}}(r) \). The function \( \Psi(r) \) satisfies the following equation:

\[
\Psi''(r) + 2\Psi'(r)\Psi'(r) + (m - 1) \left( \frac{f_k(r)}{f_k(r)} \right)^2 \Psi'(r) + (m - 1) \left( \frac{f_k(r)}{f_k(r)} \right)' \Psi(r) = 0.
\]

By the maximal principle, there is no point attaining the maximum of the function \( \Psi(r) \). So, comparing with (1), there exists a divergent sequence \( \{r_n\} \) satisfying \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi(r_n) = \sup_{r \geq 0} \Psi(r) \). Thus for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) the following inequality holds:

\[
\phi'_{\mu, f}(r_0)/\phi_{\mu, \bar{f}}(r_0) \geq \sqrt{\mu} \left( = \bar{\phi}'(r_0)/\bar{\phi}(r_0) \right)
\]

for sufficient large \( r_0 > 0 \).

For any \( \epsilon > 0 \) one can take a sufficient large positive number \( r_0 > 0 \) in such a way that

\[
-\epsilon \sqrt{\mu} < 2\sqrt{\lambda_k} - (m - 1) f_k(r)/f_k(r) < \epsilon \sqrt{\mu}
\]

for any \( r \geq r_0 \). Then direct computations show that

\[
E_{f_k}^2 \bar{\phi}(r) = \mu \left( 1 + \frac{1}{(m - 1) f_k(r)/f_k(r)} \right) f_k^{2(m-1)}(r) \bar{\phi}(r) \\
\leq \mu \left( 1 + 2 \left( \frac{\lambda_k}{\mu} + \epsilon \right) f_k^{2(m-1)}(r) \bar{\phi}(r) = \mu f_k^{2(m-1)}(r) \bar{\phi}(r)
\]

for all \( r \geq r_0 \).

These inequalities allow us to apply the Sturm–Liouville comparison theorem (see Lemma 10 in Appendix) to \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) and \( \phi(r) \), and obtain \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r)/\phi_{\mu, \mu}(r) \geq \bar{\phi}(r)/\bar{\phi}(r_0) \) for all \( r \geq r_0 \). As a result, we have \( \lim_{r \to \infty} (\log \phi_{\mu, f}(r))/r \geq \sqrt{\mu} \), and letting \( \epsilon \) tend to 0, we thus attain Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. Let \( M \) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension \( m \) and \( r \) the distance function to a fixed point \( o \) of \( M \). Suppose that the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is bounded below by a function \( -(m - 1)f''(r)/f(r) \), where \( f(r) \) is a positive smooth function on \([0, +\infty)\) such that \( f(0) = 0 \), \( f'(0) = 1 \) and \( f'(r) \geq 0 \) on \([0, +\infty)\). Given a positive number \( \mu \), let \( \phi_{\mu, f} \) be the solution of Eq. (2) subject to the initial condition (3). Then the function \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) satisfies

\[
\Delta_M \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \geq -\mu \phi_{\mu, f}(r)
\]

on \( M \) as a distribution. Moreover if a continuous function \( u \) on \( M \) satisfies \( \Delta_M |u| \leq -\mu |u| \) as a distribution, then

\[
\max_{x \in B_r(o)} |u(x)| \geq |u(o)| \phi_{\mu, f}(r)
\]

for all \( r > 0 \).

Proof. Since \( \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \) is monotone increasing, we can deduce from the Laplacian comparison theorem (see [7], Proposition 2.15) that

\[
\Delta_M \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \geq \Delta_M \phi_{\mu, f}(r) = -\mu \phi_{\mu, f}(r).
\]

In fact this holds everywhere on \( M \) as a distribution (cf. [4], [5]).

Let \( u \) be as above and set \( w = |u| - |u(o)| \phi_{\mu, f}(r) \). Then we have \( \Delta_M w \leq -\mu w \) as a distribution on \( M \). Hence the strong maximal principle for a weak sub-solution (see for example [6] and its final remark) allows us to conclude that \( \max_{x \in B_r(o)} w = \sup_{x \in B_r(o)} w \geq w(o) = 0 \). This shows that

\[
\max_{x \in B_r(o)} |u(x)| \geq |u(o)| \phi_{\mu, f}(r)
\]

for all \( r > 0 \).
Every section \( s \in \Gamma(E) \) satisfies
\[
\Delta_M |s| \leq \text{Re}(\nabla^* \nabla s, \text{Sign}(s))
\]
as a distribution on \( M \), where \( \text{Sign}(s)(x) = s(x)/|s(x)| \) for \( s(x) \neq 0 \) and otherwise 0.

Now Theorem 1 is extended to the following:

**Theorem 7.** Let \( M \) be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension \( m \) and \( E \) a Hermitian vector bundle with a metric connection \( \nabla \) and a symmetric endomorphism \( R \) of \( E \). Suppose that the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is bounded below by \(-(m-1)k^2 \) for some constant \( k \geq 0 \), and \( R \) satisfies \( \langle R s, s \rangle \geq K|s|^2 \) for some constant \( K \) and any \( s \in \Gamma(E) \). Let \( s \) be a nontrivial eigensection of \( E \) with eigenvalue \( -\mu \), that is, \( Ls = -\mu s \). If \( \mu + K > 0 \), then
\[
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \max_{B(o, r)} |s|}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + (\mu + K)},
\]
where \( o \) is a fixed point of \( M \).

**Remark.** We consider the case where \( E \) is the trivial bundle \( M \times \mathbb{C} \) over \( M \). Let \( A \) be a real-valued 1-form on \( M \) and \( V \) a real-valued function on \( M \). Define a magnetic Laplacian by \( \Delta_{M,A} = d^*_A d_A \), where \( d_A = d - \sqrt{-1}A \) and \( d^*_A \) is its formal adjoint. For any nontrivial solution \( u \) of equation \( \Delta_{M,A} u + Vu = -\mu u \) with a constant \( \mu \) such that \( \mu + \inf_M V > 0 \), we have
\[
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \max_{B(o, r)} |u|}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + \mu + \inf_M V},
\]
where \( o \) is a fixed point of \( M \). Theorem 1 corresponds to the case where \( A = 0 \) and \( V = 0 \).

Now we consider a complete Riemannian manifold \( M \) of dimension \( m \) which is minimally immersed into a simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold \( H \) with sectional curvature bounded above by \(-k^2 \). Assume that the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is bounded below by \(-(m-1)k^2 \) \( (k_2 \geq k_1 > 0) \). Let \( b_\gamma \) be the Busemann function of \( H \) associated with a ray \( \gamma \) starting from a point \( o \in H \), that is, \( b_\gamma(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} [d_H(x, \gamma(t)) - t] \), \( x \in H \). Recall the fact that the Hessian of \( b_\gamma \) satisfies
\[
\nabla^2 b_\gamma \geq k_1 (g_H - db_\gamma \otimes db_\gamma)
\]
(cf. Theorem A in [7]), and hence we have
\[
\nabla d e^{k_1 b_\gamma} \geq k_1 e^{k_1 b_\gamma} g_H,
\]
where \( g_H \) denotes the metric of \( H \). Let \( j : M \to H \) be a minimal immersion. Then \( e^{k_1 b_\gamma} \) satisfies
\[
\Delta_M e^{k_1 b_\gamma} \leq -k_1^2 m e^{k_1 b_\gamma}
\]
in \( M \). Thus by the same reason as in Theorem 7, we have
\[
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\max_{B(o, r)} \langle k_1 b_\gamma(j(x)) \rangle}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + k_1^2 m} > 0.
\]
Since \( b_\gamma(p) \leq d_H(o, p) \) for all \( p \in H \), we have thus proved the following:

**Proposition 8.** Let \( j : M \to H \) be a minimal immersion of a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold \( M \) of dimension \( m \) into a simply connected, complete Riemannian manifold \( H \) whose sectional curvature is bounded above by \(-k^2 \). If the Ricci curvature of \( M \) is bounded below by \(-(m-1)k^2 \) for some constant \( k_2 \geq k_1 > 0 \), then
\[
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\max_{B(o, r)} d_H(j(x), o)}{r} \geq -\sqrt{\lambda_k} + \sqrt{\lambda_k + k_1^2 m} / k_1,
\]
where \( d_H(j(x), o) \) is the distance in \( H \) between \( j(x) \) and a fixed point \( o \) of \( H \).

We note that the function \( e^{k_1 b_\gamma} \) as above is a positive eigenfunction of \( M \) with eigenvalue \(-k_1^2 m \) in the case where \( H = M(-k_1^2) \), that is, \( H \) is the simply connected hyperbolic space form of constant curvature \(-k_1^2 \). We also remark that if \( H \) has nonpositive sectional curvature, then
for some positive constant $C$ and all sufficient large $r$. See Proposition 2 in [8] for the proof. In addition, relevantly to the subject of [8], we have the following:

**Proposition 9.** Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $m$ and suppose that the Ricci curvature is bounded below by $-c(r^2 + 1)$ for some positive constant $c$, where $r$ is the distance to a fixed point of $M$. Let $u$ be a nontrivial continuous function on $M$ satisfying $\Delta_M u \leq -\mu |u|$ with a negative constant $-\mu$ as a distribution. Then $u$ is an unbounded function on $M$.

**Proof.** Let $f$ be the solution of equation: $f''(r) - c(r^2 + 1)f(r) = 0$, subject to the conditions: $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Then in view of Lemmas 3 and 5, it suffices to verify that

\[
\frac{\int_0^r f^{m-1}(s)ds}{f^{m-1}(r)} \geq \frac{C}{r} \quad \text{for some function $C$ and $R$.}
\]

To see this, let $\psi_f(r) = f'(r)/f(r)$ and $\eta(r) = Ar$, where $A$ is a positive constant chosen in such a way that $A \geq \max\{\sqrt{c}, c, \psi_f(R)/R\}$. Then obviously $\eta(R) \geq \psi_f(R)$ and also

\[
\eta'(r) + \eta(r)^2 \geq A^2 r^2 + A \geq cr^2 + c = \psi_f(r) + \psi_f(r)^2.
\]

Therefore it follows from the classical comparison theorem on Riccati equation that

\[
\psi_f(r) \leq \eta(r) = Ar \quad \text{for all $r \geq R$.}
\]

On the other hand, direct calculus shows that

\[
\int_0^r f^{m-1}(s)ds \frac{1}{f^{m-1}(r)} = \frac{1}{(m-1)\psi_f(\xi_r)} \left(1 - \frac{\int_0^\xi f^{m-1}(s)ds}{\int_0^1 f^{m-1}(s)ds}\right)
\]

for some $0 < \xi_r < r$. Combining (5) and (6), we get the inequality (4) mentioned above. \hfill \Box

**Proof of Theorem 2.** For any $r > 0$, take points $x, y \in \partial B_r(p)$ satisfying $u(x) = \max_{\partial B_r(p)} u$ and $u(y) = \min_{\partial B_r(p)} u$. Then by Borselli’s estimate (1) and integrating the gradient of $\log u$ along a minimizing path joining $x$ to $y$, we get $u(x) \leq e^{\sqrt{2\pi}r}u(y)$. By the assumption on the diameter of the metric sphere $\partial B_r(o)$, we see that $u(x) \leq e^{\sqrt{2\pi}r}u(y)$. On the other hand, in view of Theorem 1, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $u(x) \geq Ce^{-\sqrt{\pi}(1-\epsilon)r}$ for all sufficient large $r$. Hence we have $\min_{\partial B_r(p)} u \geq Ce^{-(1-a-\epsilon)\sqrt{\pi}r}$, from which it follows that $\liminf_{r \to \infty} (\log \min_{\partial B_r(o)} u)/r \geq (1-a-\epsilon)/\sqrt{\pi}$. Letting $\epsilon$ tend to 0, we obtain Theorem 2. \hfill \Box

**Appendix**

We show the Sturm–Liouville comparison theorem with respect to the operator $E_f = f^{m-1}(r)^{\frac{2}{m}}$.

**Lemma 10.** Let $f(r)$ be a monotone increasing $C^2$-function on $[0, +\infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$. Let $u, v$ be respectively positive $C^2$-functions satisfying

\[
E_f^2 u(r) \geq P(r)u(r), \quad E_f^2 v(r) \leq Q(r)v(r)
\]

on $[r_0, +\infty)$ for some $r_0 > 0$. If $u'(r_0)/u(r_0) \geq v'(r_0)/v(r_0)$ and $P(r) \geq Q(r)$ for all $r \geq r_0$, then

\[
\frac{u(r)}{u(r_0)} \geq \frac{v(r)}{v(r_0)} \quad \text{for } r \geq r_0.
\]

**Proof.** Noting that $E_f(u/v) = (E_f u \cdot v - u \cdot E_f v)/v^2$, we have

\[
E_f[E_f u \cdot v - u \cdot E_f v] = E_f^2 u \cdot v + E_f u \cdot E_f v - E_f u \cdot E_f v - u \cdot E_f^2 v \leq (P - Q)uv \geq 0.
\]

As a result, $E_f u \cdot v - u \cdot E_f v$ is an increasing function, and hence $(E_f u \cdot v - u \cdot E_f v)(r) \geq (E_f u \cdot v - u \cdot E_f v)(r_0) \geq 0$ for all $r \geq r_0$. This shows that $E_f(u/v) \geq 0$ on $[r_0, +\infty)$. Thus we have $u(r)/v(r) \geq u(r_0)/v(r_0)$ if $r \geq r_0$. \hfill \Box
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