Single-electron switching in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs Hall devices
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We report on measurements of low-frequency noise in submicron Hall devices based on Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructures. In addition to the 1/f-type noise caused by switching events in the n-AlGaAs layer we observe a random telegraph signal in the time domain at elevated temperatures which we attribute to trapping or detrapping of a single electron from a deep donor (DX-type) center located near the space charge region in the vicinity of the Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs interface. A simple two-level model accounts for the observed gate-voltage dependence of the electronic transition rates.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs Hall devices

Two-dimensional electron systems (2DES) in III/V semiconductor heterostructures such as $n$-Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs grown by molecular beam epitaxy are the subjects of continuing interest in both fundamental investigations and electronic-device applications, as e.g., the integer and fractional quantum Hall effect. The implementation of solid-state quantum bits, high-speed modulation-doped field effect transistors, or high-sensitivity Hall magnetometers. The 2DES is formed at the heterointerface of the $n$-Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs layer where, due to band alignment, an approximately triangular potential well forms. The particularly high-electron mobility in these materials is achieved by inserting an undoped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As spacer layer between the Si-doped $n$-Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As and the smaller band-gap material GaAs which physically separates the carriers from their parent donor atoms and thereby reduces the scattering off these ionized impurities.

Besides applications in electronic circuits, high-mobility materials are suitable for making high-sensitivity Hall devices. Indeed, Hall devices based on 2DES semiconductor heterostructures are of increasing interest as noninvasive high-sensitivity magnetometers for nanoscale magnetic measurements and biological sensing (see, e.g., Refs. 1–3 and references therein). We recently presented two noise studies, aiming at a systematic characterization of low-frequency fluctuations in micron and submicron Hall devices. In Ref. 4 a surprisingly large gating effect on the 1/f noise is discussed; the noise level can be suppressed by more than two orders of magnitude with a moderate positive gate voltage of 200 mV, whereas the carrier density is only increased by about 60% in the same interval. It was concluded that the 1/f noise originates from remote switching processes in the highly doped $n$-AlGaAs layer, which are only weakly coupled to the 2DES, e.g., by affecting the mobility of the free carriers. The strong temperature dependence of the noise spectra at intermediate-gate voltages made it possible to extract the corresponding activation energies based on thermally activated processes and the Dutta-Dimon-Horn model. The observed gate-voltage dependence of the noise can then be explained by changes in the activation-energy distribution, which varies as the applied gate voltage modifies band bending of the 2DES heterostructure. For magnetic measurements, a moment sensitivity better than $10^4 \mu_0$Hz/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 1 Hz has been achieved at $T=15$ K in a perpendicular background field of $B=0.25$ T. These results have been reproduced by noise studies in similar devices made from different wafer material which established the universality of this large gating effect on the 1/f noise for the present Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructures. In Ref. 5 the 1/f noise has been studied systematically as a function of the width of the conducting channel. In a sample as small as 0.45 μm we observe a nonmonotonic temperature dependence of the low-frequency noise power spectral densities (PSD) and deviations from 1/f-type behavior to a thermally activated Lorentzian-type PSD. The Lorentzian is described by a two-rate kinetics and a single activation energy and attempt rate. Hence, in small-area Hall devices a systematic decomposition of the 1/f noise in the Hall voltage to its Lorentzian constituents, and thus, the kinetic signature of a single fluctuator in the noise spectrum is observed.

Noise studies like the present one provide direct information about the ultimate limits of low-frequency applications in certain device structures. Furthermore, intrinsic fluctuations are fingerprints of the internal dynamics. Besides the information about intrinsic conductivity properties, such as the microscopic behavior of charge carriers and their coupling to lattice defects, electronic traps, and magnetic moments, it is worth noting that noise in semiconductor devices is not always unwelcome. Random telegraph noise related to paramagnetic defects near the Si/SiO$_2$ interface in a MOSFET-type structure has recently been utilized to detect spin resonance of a single electron.

B. Mechanisms of noise in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs-based structures

Since the invention of Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructures there is a long history of noise studies of the field-effect transistor (FET), the quantum point contact (QPC), and Hall-bar structures (see, e.g., Refs. 8–23). In these stud-
ies different mechanisms of the noise have been suggested, as discussed below. A complex interplay of these mechanisms may determine the noise behavior in relatively large samples of GaAs-based materials, whereas in smaller devices the origin of a particular noise pattern may be identified. A crucial point in all of these investigations is that usually fluctuations in the resistance and/or Hall voltage of the 2DES in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructures are measured, whereas the origin of the noise is related to the (bulk) Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As layer which is separated by a potential barrier from the 2DES. Thus, in order to understand the origin of the noise, the coupling of the 2DES to fluctuations in the remote $n$-doped Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As layer or close to the interface has to be addressed. For example, trapping and detrapping events of electrons in $n$-Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As, i.e., changes in the charge states of so-called $DX$ centers, will affect the conduction in the 2DES by a combination of electron mobility and density fluctuations, as has been pointed out by Kirtley et al.$^9$ Similar results on gated and ungated structures have been reported in Refs. 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18–20, and 22. Electron trapping as the origin of noise has also been discussed in quantum point contacts,$^{13,15,17,26}$ where discrete fluctuations in the channel conductance have been observed. Different explanations for the noise behavior are related to the issue noted above, namely, how the switching events couple to the electron system whose noise is actually measured.

The above selected examples of earlier noise studies in AlGaAs/GaAs-based structures show that a special kind of trap, the $DX$ center, plays an important role for the transport properties of these structures. The $DX$ center is a distorted state of isolated donors showing such interesting features as large lattice relaxation, strong electron-phonon coupling, the existence of metastable excited states giving rise to persistent photoconductivity, and a negative-$U$ many-body effect.$^{27–29}$ The $DX$ center is the result of a so-called “shallow-to-deep transformation” of substitutional donors in GaAs induced by changes in the conduction band structure; the latter can be achieved either by changing the alloy composition or applying hydrostatic pressure. The trap level associated with the $DX$ center in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As approximately follows the $L$-band edge and for $x > 0.22$ the $DX$ state is the lowest-lying donor state and controls the conductivity of the material.$^{28,29}$ It is formed by one neutral donor capturing an electron from another neutral donor atom according to $2d^0 \rightarrow d^+ + DX^-$. The resultant $DX$ center is negatively charged and contains two electrons localized on the same donor atom which attract each other as a result of electron-lattice interaction. The $DX^-$ defect formation involves a large bond-rupturing displacement of either the defect atom or the host lattice atoms. Such a deep and localized state becomes stable because of the near degeneracy between the three conduction minima $\Gamma$, $L$, and $X$ which energetically favors the electron wave function to be delocalized in reciprocal space while localizing in real space. This localization is achieved via a large lattice distortion.$^{30}$

In the present paper we report measurements on a submicron AlGaAs/GaAs Hall bar structure (feature size $w \sim 0.7 \mu m$). While the measured PSD are of $1/f$-type in a wide temperature range from $4.2–100 \, \text{K}$, additional random telegraph noise in the time domain occurs at elevated temperatures around $70 \, \text{K}$ which we attribute to single electron trapping/detrapping from a deep donor state close to the Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs interface into the conduction band. In agreement with a simple model, we find that applying a gate voltage strongly modifies the energy barrier of the thermally activated electron-capture process. The measurements presented here extend earlier studies of resistance fluctuations in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs FET’s and QPC’s and Hall-voltage fluctuations in relatively large structures to a new parameter range and—besides providing valuable information about the limiting factors for low-frequency device performance—may increase our understanding of the dynamic aspects of transport properties in these materials as single-fluctuator noise provides a unique method to probe the underlying physical mechanism for the fluctuations.

II. EXPERIMENT AND SAMPLE CHARACTERIZATION

The Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs heterostructure used in this study was grown on an undoped semi-insulating GaAs (100) substrate and AlAs/GaAs superlattice buffer layer, and consists of a 1000-nm-thick undoped GaAs layer, a 30-nm-thick undoped Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$As spacer layer, and a 100-nm-thick Si-doped Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$As layer with a dopant density of $1 \times 10^{18} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$ and a 10-nm-thick GaAs cap layer. The electron density and Hall mobility of submicron structures patterned from these wafers were determined in the Hall-effect measurements to be $n \sim 1.2 \times 10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $\mu_H \sim 1 \times 10^5 \, \text{cm}^2/V \cdot \text{s}$ in the dark, and at low temperatures and zero gate voltage. Application of a positive gate voltage $V_g = 200 \, \text{mV}$ increases the carrier concentration to $n \sim 1.8 \times 10^{11} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$. A submicron Hall-bar pattern of feature size $w \sim 0.7 \, \mu m$ was fabricated by electron-beam lithography followed by wet chemical etching.$^{31}$ A 50-nm-thick Cr/Au gate was deposited on top of the structure. Electrical contacts made by alloying In/Sn are Ohmic. The present device is the same as the one used for the detailed studies of the $1/f$ noise characteristics and its gate-voltage dependence reported in Ref. 4. The data on this sample are representative since similar random telegraph noise has been observed on other submicron-size samples fabricated from the same and also from other wafers. The random telegraph switching under study has been reproducibly observed in various cool downs.

The noise-power spectral density of the Hall voltage was measured using a seven-terminal ac gradiometry setup where two currents $I_1$ and $I_2$ are applied with opposite directions to two Hall crosses. The electronic circuit allows balancing both amplitude and phase of the currents, so that the Hall gradiometer output $\Delta V_H$ has zero offset. Details of this technique are described elsewhere.$^{4,32}$ The real-time measurements of the Hall voltage were performed using standard lock-in techniques. Care was taken that all spurious sources of noise, especially those coming from the gate itself could be ruled out or eliminated.

Technically, Ohmic contacts to the 2DES are also contacting the flat-band region of the (low-mobility) Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As layer, which is thus always measured in parallel. The carrier density $n$ in the Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As region is governed by deep donor ($DX$) levels. For $x > 0.22$ the energy level $E_{DX}$ lies well below the band edge$^{28,29}$ and even at room temperature...
FIG. 1. Carrier concentration of a relatively large sample (5 × 10) μm², fabricated from the same wafer as the submicron sample discussed below, as determined from the Hall-effect measurements as a function of reciprocal temperature from room temperature down to 100 K. The upper right inset shows the temperature range down to 4.2 K. Lower left inset, Hall mobility as a function of temperature. Lines are guides to the eye.

many carriers are frozen out to the trap level. Thus, as n_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As} decreases exponentially with 1/T, parallel conduction in the n-Al,Ga_{1-x}As layer is negligible. This is confirmed by Hall-effect measurements of the carrier concentration (see Fig. 1). Below about 100 K (where all the measurements presented in this paper were performed) the carrier concentration of the device is essentially constant (see the upper inset of Fig. 1), which means that the conduction is completely determined by the 2DES in agreement with earlier observations.9,11,16 The lower inset of Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependence of the Hall mobility which agrees well with remote impurity scattering as the limiting factor at low temperatures.33

In the configuration coordinate diagram after Mooney28,29 the DX center is characterized by the donor binding energy E_d, the optical ionization energy E_{act}, the activation energy for emission of an electron from the DX level to the conduction band E_c, and the activation energy for the capture of an electron from the conduction band E_{act}; the latter two of which are determined from the kinetics of electron transitions.28 E_d is the energy position of the DX level with respect to the bottom of the conduction band and can be determined from Hall-effect measurements. Using the parallel layer method34 and assuming that the 2DES is also dominant at room temperature gives n_H = n_{2DES} + 2n_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As}(μ_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As}/μ_{2DES}),11,16 where n_H denotes the measured Hall carrier concentrations n_{2DES} and n_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As}, the carrier concentration in the 2DES and the flat-band region of n-Al,Ga_{1-x}As, respectively, and μ_{2DES} and μ_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As}, the corresponding Hall mobilities. Using n_{Al,Ga_{1-x}As} · exp(−E_d/k_BT) we find from the high-temperature data in Fig. 1, a value of E_d = 41 meV which agrees reasonably well with 49 meV as expected for x = 0.29, by assuming that the thermal depth of the DX center is found to vary almost linearly from 0 to 160 meV with x from 0.22 to 0.45 (see Ref. 28).

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Hall voltage ΔV_H against time taken at different temperatures and V_g=200 mV, B=1 T, and I=2 μA. Curves are shifted for clarity. (b) Average lifetimes of the “higher” and lower Hall-voltage states plotted versus the reciprocal temperature. Lines are linear fits according to Eq. (1). Error bars denote the statistical standard error. For the temperatures represented by points without error bars, only limited statistics are available; these points have not been included in the fit.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the measured Hall voltage ΔV_H as a function of time for different temperatures 68–75 K taken at a fixed gate voltage of V_g=200 mV. At this gate voltage the level of 1/f noise is substantially suppressed [see Fig. 3(b)]. The data clearly show switching between two distinct states of higher and lower Hall voltages, states “high” and “low,” respectively. At all temperatures, the systems spend more time in state high whereas the average lifetimes of both states become shorter with increasing temperature.35 The curve taken at 73 K in Fig. 2(a) shows another switching event of same amplitude, i.e., apparently there are three distinct states instead of only two. However, in the following analysis we will consider only a two-level system which is justified for the following reasons: (i) the time scale of the additional (reversible) switching events is found to be in the order of
10^4 s and thus at least one order of magnitude greater than those of the shorter two-level switching events, and (ii) the average lifetimes of the shorter-lived two-level system have been found to be independent of the actual state related to the much longer lifetime. Thus, these are independent switching events which can be analyzed separately.

Considering the simple case of a two-level system, i.e., a double-well model with thermally activated switching between two states, the average time \( \tau_i \) spent in state \( i \) can be described by an Arrhenius law

\[
\tau_i = \nu_0^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{k_B T}\right),
\]

where \( E_{a,i} \) is the barrier height to escape from state \( i \), \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( \nu_0 \) an attempt frequency. We find that the distribution of the noise is close to a Poisson distribution which shows that the transitions from one state to another occur randomly. Figure 2(b) shows the average lifetimes \( \langle \tau_i \rangle \) (later identified as \( \tau_c \) and \( \tau_e \)) in an Arrhenius plot. Although limited in temperature range, these values for the activation energies \( E_a \) are significantly larger than the values of \( E_a \approx 1 \) meV which have been deduced from the Dutta-Dimon-Horn model in the same sample at \( T=22-35 \) K \( (V_e =75 \) mV) and \( T=21-45 \) K \( (V_e =100 \) mV), respectively, and \( E_e \approx 88 \) meV at \( T=43-53 \) K \( (V_e =200 \) mV, different sample) for the thermally activated switching processes in the remote impurity layer which are responsible for the 1/\( f \) noise.4,5 Also, for the latter type of noise, attempt frequencies in the order of \( 10^9 \) Hz have been found. These deviations suggest that the origin of the random telegraph signal (RTS) observed at elevated temperatures around 70 K is different from that which causes the 1/\( f \)-type noise spectra.

Discrete switching which depends on the gate voltage (see Fig. 3 and discussion below) implicates individual fluctuators and electron trapping. Thus, it appears natural to identify the average lifetimes \( \langle \tau_i \rangle \) of the higher and lower Hall voltage states with emission and capture times \( \tau_e \) and \( \tau_c \), respectively. It has been pointed out by Kurdak \textit{et al.} that if a typical switching event is electron trapping, it would modulate the number of carriers by 1. On the other hand, a remote event which is weakly coupled to the 2DES has less impact on the carriers in the sample and therefore, would modulate the total number of electrons by much less than 1. Assuming that Hall voltage fluctuations are affected by carrier density fluctuations only, the relative change in the measured Hall voltage is given by \( \Delta V_H / V_H = -\Delta n/N = -\Delta N/N \), where \( N = nA \) denotes the total number of carriers and \( A \) the active area of the Hall cross. Because we observe mainly a two-state RTS, the change in \( \Delta V_H = V_H - V_H^0 \) is caused by fluctuations in one of the Hall crosses instead of two. Using \( \Delta V_H \approx 2 \) \( \mu \)V as read from Fig. 2(a) we estimate \( \Delta V_H / V_H = -\Delta N/N = 1/3000 \) with \( N = 900 \), leading to \( \Delta N \approx 0.3 \pm 0.1 \) which is less than unity. Possibly the switching event also affects the mobility of the channel.

The amplitude of the switching event, which is less (but not much less) than unity, as well as the relative large value of the activation energy, suggest that changes in the charge state of a DX-type trap in the region of band bending near the \( \text{AlGa}_1-x\text{As/GaAs} \) interface cause the observed discrete fluctuations in the Hall voltage, in contrast to a switching event in the remote \( n-\text{AlGa}_1-x\text{As} \) layer. A better understanding of the switching kinetics might come from investigations of the gate voltage dependence on the noise. Figure 3(a) shows time traces of the Hall voltage taken at a fixed temperature of \( 75 \) K and different gate voltages. For comparison, Fig. 3(b) shows the low-frequency noise power spectral densities of the Hall voltage taken at the same conditions. The PSD in the present frequency range show a purely 1/\( f \)-type behavior. Also—as for the temperature range between 1.5 K and \( 60 \) K—the noise level at \( 75 \) K is largely suppressed by applying moderate gate voltages (e.g., \( 1 \) Hz by a factor of \( \approx 400 \) for \( V_g =200 \) mV). The fact that the PSD stays 1/\( f \)-like

\( E_a \) and \( \nu_0 \) to Eq. \( (1) \) give an estimate of the activation energies of \( E_a \) and \( \nu_0 \) for the higher and lower Hall voltage state, respectively, and attempt frequencies of the order of \( \nu_0 \approx 2 \times 10^{11} \) Hz.3,7


FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Hall voltage \( \Delta V_H \) against time taken at different gate voltages and \( T=75 \) K, \( B=1 \) T, and \( I=2 \) \( \mu \)A. Curves are shifted for clarity. (b) Corresponding noise power spectral densities (PSD) at different gate voltages, showing the large suppression of the noise level with gating, see also Ref. 4. The straight line is a fit to the data at zero gate voltage to \( S_f \times 1/f^{\alpha} \) yielding \( \alpha = 1 \).
while for the same parameters a RTS is measured in the time domain corroborates that these two kinds of noise originate from different mechanisms; obviously the amplitude of the Lorentzian-type spectrum which belongs to the RTS is too small to be observed in the present frequency range.

As seen in Fig. 3(a), the effect of changing the gate voltage on the Hall voltage signal $\Delta V_H$ is twofold. First, according to the PSD shown in Fig. 3(b), decreasing the gate voltage $V_g$ increases the overall $1/f$-type noise; the time traces $\Delta V_H(t)$ become noisier for smaller values of $V_g$. Second, there is a striking change in the random telegraph signal; with decreasing gate voltage the ratio of the average lifetimes of the higher and lower Hall voltage states reverses. Whereas at higher values of $V_g$ the system spends more time in the high state, the low state becomes more stable at lower gate voltages. Figure 4 shows the average lifetimes of the electronic states as a function of gate voltage with an apparent crossover around $V_g \sim 90$ mV.39 The applied gate voltage obviously strongly alters the shape of the double-well potential of the two-level system. Remarkably, the average lifetime of state high (i.e., higher Hall voltage at large gate voltages) is only very weakly dependent on the gate voltage, whereas state low shows a strong gate-voltage dependence. This behavior can be explained by considering a deep trap located in the vicinity of the GaAs/Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As interface with energy level $E_T$ which is separated by a repulsive energy barrier from the conduction band energy $E_F$. A calculation of the conduction band offset40 gives $E_g = 253.3$ meV for the present structure with $x=0.29$ at $T=77$ K and for the Fermi level $E_F=38.7$ meV (measured from the conduction band) at the interface, and therefore, a barrier height of about $210-215$ meV in good agreement with the values for the activation energy found in our measurements. This supports the assumption of the switching process taking place close to the interface. We further assume that the trap level only interacts with the conduction band. If the transition to and from the trap occurs via intermediate short-lived (L-band) states, the capture rate for the empty trap involves the sum of the activation energies for multiphonon capture and for the population of the intermediate state.9,28 According to this model, the capture rate would strongly depend on the applied gate voltage as changes in $V_g$ essentially shift the quasi-Fermi level (which is almost flat at the heterointerface side, see Ref. 41) whereas the emission rate, which only depends on the depth of the trap level, would not be affected by $V_g$. This simple model indeed accounts for the observations shown in Fig. 4 and allows for an identification of the formerly labeled high and low states with filled and empty trap states, respectively.

For individual RTS generated by a trap with energy level $E_T$ and mean capture and emission times $\tau_c$ and $\tau_e$, respectively, one has from detailed balance,

$$\frac{\tau_c}{\tau_e} = g \exp \frac{E_T - E_F}{k_B T},$$

where $g$ is the degeneracy factor and $E_F$ the Fermi energy. Following the analysis of RTS in Si-MOSFET structures given in Refs. 42 and 43, the change of the Fermi energy relative to the trap energy level with applied gate voltage may be related to the measured gate-voltage dependencies of the capture and emission times,

$$\frac{d(E_T - E_F)}{dV_g} = k_B T \frac{d(\ln \tau_c - \ln \tau_e)}{dV_g}.$$  

From Fig. 4 we read $d(E_T - E_F)/dV_g = -170 \pm 15$ meV/V in the present case, the quasi-Fermi level lies below the trap level at zero and small gate voltages. Upon increasing gate voltages, $E_F$ then crosses $E_T$ at around $V_g \sim 90$ meV where the average capture and emission times are equal. At larger gate voltages, the Fermi level lies above the trap energy level. In the region of band bending, where we assume that the generation process takes place, the distance between the Fermi level and the conduction band at a given gate voltage is not constant, and the capture and emission rates are spatially dependent. A rough estimate yields $d \sim 4$ nm for the distance of the trap from the interface (cf. Refs. 10, 24, 42, and 43).

In the above model the capture and/or emission of an electron from the trap into the conduction band changes the charge state of a DX center which causes local fluctuations in the electron density and/or the change in the Coulomb potential modulates the conductance of the 2DES. In contrast, a direct transition of an electron across the Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$As/GaAs interface where the electron which is generated into the conduction band will be scattered into the conducting channel, and thus increase the number of carriers in the system by one, has been suggested in Refs. 10, 11, and 16, based on noise spectroscopy in similar structures to the one investigated here. Also, direct tunneling from electronic surface states might be considered. However, the above estimate of a change in the absolute carrier number less than unity and the estimated distance of the trap from the interface suggest a more weakly coupled mechanism in the present case. Since in Refs. 10, 11, and 16 extremely broadened Lorentzian-type PSD, i.e., ensembles of fluctuators, have been analyzed, the present data give direct evidence for an individual carrier generation process in the vicinity of the space charge region.
at the Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As/GaAs interface. In future experiments the field dependence of the transition kinetics may provide valuable information about the magnetic degrees of freedom of the switching electron (see Refs. 24 and 25).

IV. SUMMARY

We performed noise studies on a submicron Hall device made from gated Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As/GaAs heterostructures. In submicron-size samples we find additional, random telegraph-type noise at elevated temperatures, which we interpret as trapping or detrapping events of a single electron. We considered a simple two-level model of emission from a deep donor (DX center) state located in the region of band bending at the Al$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$As/GaAs interface into the conduction band. There, the change of the DX center’s charge state causes fluctuations of the local electron density and/or modulates the conductance of the channel. The model accounts for the observed gate voltage dependence of the transition rates and the energy of the identified trap level with respect to the Fermi energy.
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The increasing amplitude $V_H$ of the RTS with decreasing gate voltage as seen in Fig. 3(a) is due to the decreasing carrier density; see Fig. 2(b) in Ref. 4. The relative change $\Delta V_H/V_H = -\Delta N/N$ remains constant within the experimental error.
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