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We have demonstrated that a single photoelectron can be trapped, stored, and its photoelectric charge detected by a source/drain channel in a transistor. The electron trap can be photoionized and repeatedly reset for the arrival of successive individual photons. This single-photoelectron transistor, operating in the λ = 1.3 μm telecommunication band, was demonstrated by using a window gate double quantum well InGaAs/InAlAs/InP heterostructure that was designed to provide near-zero electron g factor. In general, g-factor engineering allows selection rules that would convert a photon polarization to an electron spin polarization. Such a transistor photodetector could be useful for flagging the safe arrival of a photon in a quantum repeater.

In the future, the safe arrival of a photoelectric charge would trigger the commencement of the teleportation algorithm in a quantum repeater to be used for quantum telecommunications.

Quantum information can take several different forms and it is beneficial as it is be able to convert among different forms. One form is photon polarization, and another is electron spin polarization.

Photons are the most convenient medium for sharing quantum information between distant locations. Quantum key distribution1 has been demonstrated by sending photons through a conventional optical fiber up to distances over 80 km.2 As the distance increases, the secure data rate decreases, owing to photon loss. To expand the distance dramatically, it is necessary to realize a quantum repeater, which is based on quantum teleportation.3 A quantum repeater requires quantum information storage,4 and electron spin is a good candidate for such a quantum memory. We need to have a photodetector that converts from photon to electron, while transferring the quantum information from photon polarization to electron spin. This is sometimes called an entanglement preserving photodetector.5 In addition, the photodetector must provide a trigger signal to flag the arrival of a photoelectric charge, and to commence the teleportation algorithm.

A field effect transistor (FET), and a single-electron transistor (SET) based on quantum dots, can both function as sensitive electrometers that can detect a single trapped electric charge. Our goal is to safely trap a photoelectron, so that its spin state can then be monitored. In this paper, we demonstrate the trapping and manipulation of individual photoelectrons, but we have not yet measured the trapped electron’s spin properties. Previous experiments have demonstrated interband photon absorption resulting in the trapping of photoholes; on self-assembled InAs quantum dots,6 or on DX centers,7 near an FET source/drain channel. These produce positive photoconductivity, which is fairly common. The trapping of photoelectrons is much more rarely observed, since it is accompanied by negative photoconductivity.8

Several kinds of photon effects on SET’s made on modulation-doped semiconductors have been reported. Photon assisted tunneling is the most common effect. The tunneling takes place between an island and a source-drain reservoir,9,10 between two adjacent islands,11 or between an inner island and an outer ring split into Landau levels by a magnetic field.12 In all these cases, the rather long photon wavelengths are controlled by the electron subband energy difference, rather than by the fundamental band gap as in our experiments.

These types of single-photon detectors should be distinguished from avalanche photodiodes, where the single-photon sensitivity arises from avalanche gain. In the FET and SET photodetectors, a single trapped electric charge can influence the current of millions of electrons in the source/drain channel. This is indeed the mechanism of “photoconductive gain”13 that is also sometimes called “secondary photoconductivity.”13,14 But this form of gain can also be considered as arising from transistor action. Thus the name “single-photelectron transistor” (SPT) might be appropriate. Since the photoelectron is safely trapped, and is known to have a long spin lifetime in many semiconductors,13 it can then be interrogated to determine its spin state. The initial goal is to monitor the photoelectric charge in such a way as to not disturb its spin state. Ultimately the goal is to measure its spin state as well.

At least three requirements should be satisfied to make a photodetector for quantum repeaters: (1) The wavelength that should be in the 1.3 μm or 1.55 μm, the low-loss window of optical fibers. (2) The sign of the photoconductivity that should be negative, which means the trapped information carrier should be an electron instead of a hole. (3) The electron g factor, which should be small, to make the up-and-down electron spin states indistinguishable as possible.5 The first requirement suggests interband transition rather than intraband transition. The second requirement suggests creation of a positively charged trap for an electron. The third requirement is satisfied through g-factor engineering.14

The SPT that we present in this paper satisfies all of the above requirements. An InGaAs, quantum well is used with a band gap corresponding to λ = 1.3 μm, as shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 2 are shown the window-shaped circular gates that are negatively biased above the two-dimensional electron gas.
(2DEG), leaving behind a relatively positive central island. The InGaAs absorption layer, which has a $g_e$ factor $=-4.5$ in the bulk, is sandwiched between InP cladding layers of $g_e$ factor $=+1.2$, to make the effective $g_e$ factor in the absorption layer nearly zero. The measurements showed clear evidence for negative persistent photoconductivity steps. The abrupt drops in photoconductivity are strongly correlated with photon injection at the $\lambda = 1.3 \, \mu m$ wavelength, leading to the conclusion that the SPT detects a single photon by sensing the charge of a safely trapped photoelectron in the absorption quantum well.

The photoabsorption layer is located above the source/drain channel layer, and both are made of In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As, separated by a high electron barrier layer made of In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As to prevent leakage. The source/drain channel layer is modulation doped and formed into a one-dimensional electron gas (1DEG) channel whose conductance is sensitive to the charge state of the island in the absorption layer above it. All layers were grown by gas-source molecular-beam epitaxy on semi-insulating InP, and consisted of a nominally undoped InP buffer layer 100-nm thick; an In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As buffer layer 1000-nm thick; a Si-doped $(5 \times 10^{-7}/cm^3)$ n-In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As doping layer 10-nm thick; an In$_{0.52}$Al$_{0.48}$As lower spacer layer 30-nm thick; an In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As channel layer 10-nm thick; an i-In$_{0.53}$Al$_{0.47}$As barrier layer 20-nm thick; an i-InP cladding layer 10-nm thick; an i-In$_{0.53}$Ga$_{0.47}$As absorption layer 4.5-nm thick; an i-InP cladding layer 10-nm thick; and an i-In$_{0.53}$Al$_{0.48}$As capping layer 60-nm thick. The modulation-doped double-quantum-well structure creates a 2DEG in the lower-quantum well that is shaped into a 1DEG channel by the two split gates. The gates surround a circular window, 1 $\mu m$ in diameter, that masks out unnecessary light exposure and fixes the potential at the edges surrounding the window. The Schottky gates, Al/Pt/Au, are fabricated using electron-beam lithography and electron-gun evaporation. The source/drain Ohmic contacts are made of AuGe/Ni/Au. Scanning electron microscope pictures of the whole device and the window gates are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively. The energy-band diagram at zero bias, simulated by one-dimensional Poisson/Schrödinger equation, is shown in Fig. 1.

The sample is illuminated by monochromatic light through a large-core glass fiber that is carefully shielded to block any photons from the outer jacket. The light is created by a tungsten lamp and then filtered by a monochromator, a long-pass filter passing wavelength $\lambda > 1000$ nm, and a 30-dB neutral density filter. The optical power at the end of the fiber is measured by an InGaAs detector. The illumination area in the plane of the device is about 5 mm in diameter owing to light diffraction from the end of the fiber. Given the small device active area of $7.9 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$, defined by the 1-$\mu$m-diameter gate window, we estimate the actual light power in the active area to be $2.8 \times 10^{-8}$ times smaller than the total power (assuming a Gaussian profile). The incident photon number is estimated by multiplying this scaling factor by the measured power divided by the photon energy.

By applying a negative voltage to the split window gates, the source/drain current through the channel layer is pinched off. Simultaneously, the applied negative voltage creates a two-dimensional potential minimum in the window at the absorption layer. This is because the surface Fermi level in
FIG. 3. (Color) Negative persistent photoconductivity of the SPT to $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m light starting with finite conductance, and positive photoconductivity at $\lambda=1.7$ $\mu$m light starting with zero conductance. The source-drain current drops in discrete steps when the SPT is exposed to $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m. The inset shows the initial current-gate voltage characteristics ($I_{sd}$-$V_g$ curves) and bias points for the $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m exposure and the $\lambda=1.7$ $\mu$m exposure. The $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m photons create photoelectrons in the quantum well, which are trapped and pinch off the 2DEG, step by step. In contrast, $\lambda=1.7$ $\mu$m photoionizes the electrons and increases the 2DEG density. Photon number absorbed in the window area is 1 per second, on average.

The electric field in the electrostatic potential well can separate an electron-hole pair created by a photon. The electron is attracted to the negative gates as schematically shown in Fig. 2(b).

The source/drain current is measured at a constant voltage drop ($V_{sd}$) of 0.5 mV, at a temperature of 4.2 K. The interesting property of these photodetectors is that $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m light produces positive photoconductivity effectively doping the channel, and $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m light produces negative photoconductivity. We attribute the channel doping by $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m light to be due to photoionization of donors in the $n$-InAlAs doping layer. As a normal practice, we initially prepare the photodetectors for use by means of a deep soak in $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m light, to fully ionize the donors and to populate the source/drain channel. The pinch-off behavior in the source-drain conductance ($I_{sd}$-$V_g$ curve) is shown in the inset of Fig. 3. The left-most $I$-$V$ curve in that inset corresponds to full modulation doping after a deep soak in $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m light.

After the deep soak in $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m light to produce full channel doping, the gate voltage is adjusted for a current around 0.6 nA. The device is then exposed to a photon flux at a wavelength of $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m (red curve labeled 1.3 $\mu$m in Fig. 3). The photon exposure at $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m causes current to drop inexorably, step by step, except for occasional upward spikes. Thus as a result of trapped photoelectrons, the current is again pinched off, and the $I_{sd}$-$V_g$ curve was shifted toward the circular area is pinned by the extrinsic surface states. The wavelength was swept from $\lambda=1.0$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.8$ $\mu$m while monitoring the source-drain current. From $\lambda=1.0$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m, the current monotonically decreases, which is the range of negative photoconductivity. On the contrary, from $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.8$ $\mu$m, the current monotonically increases with increasing wavelength, which is the range of positive photoconductivity. The crossover point, $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m, corresponds to the band gap in InGaAs quantum wells.

FIG. 4. Spectral dependence of the photoconductivity. The wavelength was swept from $\lambda=1.0$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.8$ $\mu$m while monitoring the source-drain current. From $\lambda=1.0$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m, the current monotonically decreases, which is the range of negative photoconductivity. On the contrary, from $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m to $\lambda=1.8$ $\mu$m, the current monotonically increases with increasing wavelength, which is the range of positive photoconductivity. The crossover point, $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m, corresponds to the band gap in InGaAs quantum wells.

The current drop for $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m means that the net negative charge is trapped near the source/drain channel. The occasional spikes we associate possibly with detrapping and retrapping of photoelectron, an effect that is seen also in Fig. 5. The difference in the magnitude of jumps in the current can be ascribed to the different positions where photoelectrons are trapped. Similar effects are seen for photohole\textsuperscript{6,7} trapping. The exposure to $\lambda=1.77$ $\mu$m photons can energetically cause only photoionization, because the photon energy is smaller than any of the band gaps.

Detailed examination of the spectral dependence is not straightforward, since the channel conductance depends on the starting bias and the full prior history of spectral exposure. In Fig. 4, we start with an unpinched channel, and sweep wavelength starting from $\lambda=1.0$ $\mu$m up to $\lambda=1.8$ $\mu$m over an 80 s time period. First, the current monotonically decreases with increasing wavelength, corresponding to trapped electrons, with no further decrease at around $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m, the band gap of the InGaAs quantum wells. Negative trapped charge at wavelengths shorter than $\lambda=1.3$ $\mu$m is caused by photon absorption in the absorption layer or the channel layer. The photoelectrons in the conducting channel are mobile, and thus cannot contribute to trapped charge. Thus the negative steps must originate from photoelectrons produced in the absorption layer.
FIG. 5. Bitwise current state switching near the crossover from positive to negative photoconductivity. The photon source is gated to synchronize the current steps with the photons. The shutter was repeatedly opened for $\sim 10\ s$ every $50\ s$. The negative and positive photoconductivity events (electron trapping and photoneutralization) were balanced by incomplete soaking at $\lambda = 1.77\ \mu m$. The current alternates between a higher state and a lower state, the switching induced by optical pulses. In the dark, the state was stable for more than $1\ h$. The photon number absorbed within the window area is $30$ photons in $10\ s$, on average.

By having an incomplete initial soak in $\lambda = 1.77\ \mu m$ radiation, we can control the pinch-off voltage in between $-0.5\ V$ and $+0.1\ V$. Now, when the pinch-off voltage is set nearly to zero, the $\lambda = 1.3\ \mu m$ photocurrent still shows steps, but they are equally likely to be either positive or negative. The incomplete photoionization of donors in the initial state allows a balance between electron trapping and photoionization. To make this phenomenon clear, we periodically opened the optical shutter for $10\ s$ in every $50\ s$, maintaining the SPT section to be consistent with the rough equality between trapping and detrapping rates. On the other hand, annihilation by photoholes would require a hole trapping rate that is roughly coincident with the electron trapping rate. Such an adjustment may have been made by the adjustment of potential wells through the pinch-off voltage requirement of Fig. 5.

In conclusion, we have trapped, safely stored, and detected single photoelectrons in a window-gate double-quantum-well transistor structure. This single-photoelectron transistor detector satisfies three key requirements for a quantum repeater photodetector. It has an optical wavelength suitable for optical fibers, it safely traps and detects a single photoelectron, and the $g_{\phi}$ factors can be designed to satisfy the requirements for an entanglement preserving photodetector. The wavelength could be shifted to $\lambda = 1.55\ \mu m$, which is more preferable, by using strain engineered substrates. We believe that the quantum efficiency can be brought close to unity by optical cavity enhancement. We have yet to prove the entanglement transfer from photons to electrons, but we believe such a demonstration will be a breakthrough for realizing long-distance quantum key distribution or long-distance teleportation.
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