

1 **Development of a *Slow Earthquake Database***

2 **Masayuki Kano^{1,2*}, Naofumi Aso^{3,4*}, Takanori Matsuzawa⁵, Satoshi Ide³, Satoshi**
3 **Annoura⁶, Ryuta Arai⁷, Satoru Baba¹, Michael Bostock⁸, Kevin Chao⁹, Kosuke Heki¹⁰,**
4 **Satoshi Itaba¹¹, Yoshihiro Ito¹², Noriko Kamaya⁶, Takuto Maeda¹, Julie Maury¹³,**
5 **Mamoru Nakamura¹⁴, Takuya Nishimura¹², Koichiro Obana⁷, Kazuaki Ohta¹², Natalia**
6 **Poiata^{15,16}, Baptiste Rousset¹⁷, Hiroko Sugioka¹⁸, Ryota Takagi¹⁹, Tsutomu Takahashi⁷,**
7 **Akiko Takeo¹, Yoko Tu¹⁰, Naoki Uchida¹⁹, Yusuke Yamashita¹², and Kazushige Obara¹**

8 1. Earthquake Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

9 2. Now at Department of Geophysics, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai,
10 Japan.

11 3. Department of Earth and Planetary Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

12 4. Now at Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, School of Science, Tokyo Institute of
13 Technology, Tokyo, Japan.

14 5. National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience, Tsukuba, Japan.

15 6. Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo, Japan.

16 7. Research and Development Center for Earthquake and Tsunami, Japan Agency for Marine-
17 Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Japan.

18 8. Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric, University of British Columbia, Vancouver,
19 British Columbia, Canada.

20 9. Northwestern Institute on Complex Systems, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois,
21 USA.

22 10. Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.

- 23 11. Geological Survey of Japan, AIST, Tsukuba, Japan.
- 24 12. Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- 25 13. BRGM, F-45060 Orléans, France.
- 26 14. University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan.
- 27 15. National Institute for Earth Physics, Romania.
- 28 16. Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Univ Paris Diderot, F-75005
- 29 Paris, France.
- 30 17. Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, California,
- 31 USA.
- 32 18. Kobe University, Kobe, Japan.
- 33 19. Research Center for Prediction of Earthquakes and Volcanic Eruptions, Graduate School
- 34 of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan.

35

36 *Corresponding authors:

37 Masayuki Kano (kano@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp), 113-0032, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

38 Present address: masayuki.kano.a3@tohoku.ac.jp, 980-8578, 6-3 Aramaki Aza-Aoba, Aoba-

39 ku, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

40 Naofumi Aso (aso@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp), 113-0033, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

41 Present address: aso@eps.sci.titech.ac.jp, 152-8551, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo,

42 Japan

43

44 **Abstract**

45 This paper describes a database that provides various catalogs of slow earthquakes that
46 list the times and the locations of the events together with additional information depending on
47 the catalog. Since these catalogs are provided by a variety of documents in different formats,
48 previous studies that use them must repeat complex procedures for preparing data. To make it
49 more convenient to use such multiple catalogs and to promote research on slow earthquakes,
50 we have compiled a number of catalogs into a standardized format in a single repository, the
51 *Slow Earthquake Database*, at the University of Tokyo ([http://www-solid.eps.s.u-](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/)
52 [tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/)) given in “Data and Resources.” Users can visualize the source locations
53 of multiple slow earthquakes in the database in map views on the website. Convenient access
54 to the database encourages researchers to work on slow earthquakes regardless of their
55 backgrounds. We also expect the database to foster collaboration among researchers in various
56 fields and further the understanding of the mechanisms, environmental conditions, and
57 underlying physics of slow earthquakes. Through the compilation of this database, we have
58 established a global standard of slow earthquake catalogs.

59

60 **Introduction**

61 The scope of this paper includes describing a database on slow earthquakes (Ide et al.,
62 2007), a new type of fault slip. The deployment of seismic and geodetic networks in the late
63 twentieth century contributed to the first discovery of slow earthquakes in southwest Japan
64 (e.g., Hirose *et al.*, 1999; Obara, 2002). Since then, slow earthquakes have been widely detected
65 in the world, especially in subduction zones along the Pacific Rim (Peng and Gomberg, 2010;
66 Obara and Kato, 2016). Because slow earthquakes usually occur both on the deeper and
67 shallower sides of megathrust seismogenic zones, slow earthquakes may interact with huge
68 earthquakes. Therefore, revealing the generation mechanisms, environmental conditions, and

69 principles of slow earthquakes should promote our understanding of all earthquake processes,
70 ranging from slow transients to fast ruptures in faults.

71 Slow earthquakes are characterized by slower fault slips than ordinary earthquakes but
72 faster than stable sliding with various characteristic time scales ranging from seconds to years.
73 For seismic signals of slow earthquakes, tectonic tremor with a dominant frequency of 2–8 Hz
74 in their waveforms is observed by high-sensitivity seismometers (Obara, 2002) or ocean bottom
75 seismometers (OBSs) (Obana and Kodaira, 2009; Yamashita *et al.*, 2015). Tremor is
76 considered to be a continuous signal of low frequency earthquakes (LFEs) (Shelly *et al.*, 2006)
77 that is an element of tremor, and isolated pulses of tremor have also been identified as LFEs
78 (Katsumata and Kamaya, 2003). Broadband seismometers record very low frequency
79 earthquakes (VLFs) with a dominant period of a few tens of seconds (Ito *et al.*, 2007), and
80 geodetic networks such as the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS), tiltmeters, and
81 strainmeters detect slow slip events (SSEs), lasting from days to years (Hirose *et al.*, 1999;
82 Rogers and Dragert, 2003).

83 Researchers have used a number of methods to estimate the source locations of LFEs,
84 tremors, VLFs, and SSEs. Catalogs of slow earthquakes, which list the times and the locations
85 of the events together with additional information depending on the catalog, were detected by
86 different researchers and became available in different formats. They are available from each
87 original paper, which provide catalogs created upon publication, or through a website such as
88 the Interactive Tremor Map (Wech, 2010) and the World Tremor Database (Idehara *et al.*,
89 2014), which provide updated catalogs with the most recent events. However, to investigate
90 slow earthquakes, researchers must download catalogs from different sources with different
91 formats, a complex-, time-consuming process. Thus, to mitigate this problem and provide a
92 more convenient source of information, we have released the *Slow Earthquake Database*, given
93 in “Data and Resources,” a standardized compilation of slow earthquake catalogs. This paper

94 introduces an overview of the database, including its construction, contents, and availability
95 along with the underlying issues and future possible updates.

96

97 **Database construction and overview**

98 The construction of the *Slow Earthquake Database* entailed the following procedure
99 (Fig. 1). We began by compiling information about slow earthquake such as occurrence times,
100 locations, magnitudes, and source mechanisms of events from peer-reviewed papers and
101 institutional reports. We used every slow earthquake catalog in the database with permission
102 from the corresponding author(s) to include it to the database and then converted the format of
103 the catalogs to a unified format mentioned in the “Download and catalog format” section. After
104 the conversion, we stored all of the catalogs in a single repository at the University of Tokyo
105 that is currently open to the public via the *Slow Earthquake Database* ([http://www-](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/)
106 [solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/)). The database consists of 29 catalogs, including five LFE,
107 thirteen tremor, five VLFE, and six SSE catalogs (as of December 4, 2017; Table 1).

108 The source locations of LFEs are usually determined based on the manually picked
109 arrival times of P and S waves (Katsumata and Kamaya, 2003; Arai *et al.*, 2016) or their
110 difference (S-P time). For example, the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) routinely
111 determines the hypocenters of LFEs in Japan. The catalog compiled by the JMA includes both
112 volcanic and tectonic LFEs along the subducting plate (Katsumata and Kamaya, 2003). The
113 method of locating tremor involves the relative time differences of S wave arrivals detected by
114 a cross correlation analysis of waveform envelopes, or the envelope cross-correlation method
115 (ECM) (Obara, 2002; Wech and Creager, 2008; Ide, 2010; 2012). To determine the hypocenters
116 of LFEs along the Ryukyu subduction zone, several studies adopted ECM and the S-P time
117 (Arai *et al.*, 2016; Nakamura, 2017).

118 The ECM is fundamentally used to determine the source location of worldwide tremor
119 such as that in southwest Japan (Obara, 2002; Obana and Kodaira, 2009; Yamashita *et al.*,
120 2015), Cascadia, Parkfield, Mexico, Chile, New Zealand, and Taiwan (Idehara *et al.*, 2014).
121 By combining the ECM and information related to the squared tremor amplitudes, Maeda and
122 Obara (2009) identified the tremor hypocenters in southwest Japan. These methods can
123 determine one source location within a short time period (e.g., one minute). Since tremors can
124 be continuous signals, tremor sources for a continuous period are sometimes clustered into one
125 or two centroid locations (Obara *et al.*, 2010; Annoura *et al.*, 2016). For example, the National
126 Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED) routinely constructs
127 catalogs of “clustered” tremor with a maximum duration of one hour. In northeast Japan, tremor
128 signals were observed by ocean bottom seismometers (OBSs) (Ito *et al.*, 2015). However, since
129 the OBS station was insufficient for locating tremor, we used the locations of the OBSs that
130 recorded tremor signals in the catalog instead of the source location (Ito *et al.*, 2015).

131 Since the observed waveforms of VLFs are dominant in low frequency bands of 0.05
132 Hz, researchers often conduct centroid moment tensor inversion analyses (Ito *et al.*, 2007) by
133 comparing synthetic and observed waveforms using an appropriate velocity structure. Several
134 studies have applied this approach to locate VLFs along the Japan Trench (Matsuzawa *et al.*,
135 2015) and to both deep (Ito *et al.*, 2007; 2009; Takeo *et al.*, 2010) and shallow (Sugioka *et al.*,
136 2012) VLFs in the Nankai subduction zone. Nakamura and Sunagawa (2015) employed the
137 maximum amplitudes of surface waves recorded by broadband seismometers to detect the
138 epicenters of VLFs in the Ryukyu area. Their method, however, was incapable of accurately
139 determining the source depth.

140 The *Slow Earthquake Database* includes the source parameters of SSEs in northeast
141 and southwest Japan, represented by a single rectangular fault model. Assuming a
142 homogeneous half space, we inferred the source parameters of the faults (Okada, 1992) to

143 explain the observed GNSS displacement vectors (Heki and Kataoka, 2008; Nishimura *et al.*,
144 2013; Nishimura, 2014; Takagi *et al.*, 2016; Tu and Heki, 2017), tilt changes (Sekine *et al.*,
145 2010), strain changes (Ito *et al.*, 2013), and pressure changes on the seafloor (Ito *et al.*, 2013).

146 At the time of its first release, the database included catalogs of slow earthquakes
147 detected mainly in Japan, where this phenomenon is vigorously investigated. However, we are
148 currently in the stage of compiling more catalogs in the world in cooperation with various
149 researchers. Among them are catalogs of LFEs in Cascadia (Bostock *et al.*, 2015) and Nankai
150 (Ohta and Ide, 2017), tremors in California (Chao *et al.*, 2012a), Taiwan (Chao *et al.*, 2012b;
151 2017) and Japan (Chao and Obara, 2016; Imanishi *et al.*, 2016), global triggered tremor (Chao
152 *et al.*, 2013), VLFs in Nankai (Baba *et al.*, 2018), and SSEs in Nankai (Itaba and Ando, 2011)
153 and Mexico (Rousset *et al.*, 2017). In addition, we are planning to add catalogs of repeating
154 earthquakes as indicators of slow slip along faults, such as those in northeast Japan (Uchida
155 and Matsuzawa, 2013). Therefore, the number of catalogs in the *Slow Earthquake Database*
156 will continue to increase in the future. We welcome researchers to contribute by adding their
157 published slow earthquake catalogs to our database. In addition, now that the accessibility to
158 the data presented in published papers has become an essential requirement for a number of
159 journals, researchers can refer to our database as a tool with which they share their catalogs.
160 Any requests and questions regarding the details of sharing the catalogs through our database
161 can be addressed to sloweq-ctlg-hq@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

162

163 **Use of the *Slow Earthquake Database***

164 *Catalog selection*

165 Figure 2 presents a screenshot of the *Slow Earthquake Database* website. After logging
166 on to the database, users select the time span of interest (A in Fig. 2a); that is, users choose the

167 first day of the time span and its duration or the last day of interest. Next, from a table (B in
168 Fig. 2a) users select which slow earthquake catalog(s) they wish to use. The catalogs are sorted
169 by region and the category of slow earthquake, that is, the characteristic duration.

170

171 *Visualization*

172 Users can view source locations of their selected slow earthquakes at the same time in
173 Google Maps (C in Fig.2b). The catalogs are plotted by color in the default configuration. They
174 can change the color scale to represent the source depth or the occurrence time of the events.
175 The number of events in each catalog in the selected time span are also indicated below the
176 map (D in Fig. 2b). Plate boundaries in Google Maps come from Bird (2003).

177

178 *Download and catalog format*

179 The database provides the slow earthquake catalogs in a unified or standardized format
180 or the user's preferred format, which the user can specify in the download part. Four format
181 options available (E in Fig. 2c). The first three are the commonly used formats for LFEs or
182 tremor, VLFs, and SSEs, and the other contains all information in a default format that can
183 be customized by users. A summary of the labels in the format appear in the "Data Format"
184 part (F in Fig. 2c). By clicking the "Download" button, users can download the selected
185 catalogs in a specified format as a single comma-separated-value (CSV) file. Figure 3 presents
186 a downloaded CSV file in the case of all labels selected in the format for JMA-LFE,
187 Annoura2016-Tremor, YoshiIto2009-VLFE, and Sekine2010-SSE catalogs on March 5, 2008
188 (Katsumata and Kamaya, 2003; Ito *et al.*, 2009; Sekine *et al.*, 2010; Annoura *et al.*, 2016). The
189 first 26 columns (columns A–Z in Figs. 3a and 3b) list occurrence times (columns A–I in Fig.
190 3a), source locations (columns J–L in Fig. 3a), and mechanisms (columns M–Z in Fig. 3b),

191 respectively. The following six columns (columns AA–AF in Fig. 3c) list the information of
192 source uncertainties in both time and space. The last seven columns list (columns AG–AM in
193 Fig. 3c) the notices in the catalog. A description of each column is summarized in the “Data
194 Format” on the website (F in Fig. 2c). The properties that are not included in the original catalog
195 remain to be blank.

196

197 **Database availability**

198 The *Slow Earthquake Database* is an open database. Users of the database must comply
199 with our general and individual policies. The general policy (see Fig. 4a) describes the general
200 rules formulated for all catalogs, such as how to cite or acknowledge a database. They also
201 outline the responsibility of the user, a prohibition of the redistribution of catalogs, and an
202 explanation of future possible updates. In addition, each catalog has an individual policy set by
203 the corresponding author. Figure 4b presents an example of an individual policy corresponding
204 to the tremor catalog provided by NIED (Maeda and Obara, 2009; Obara *et al.*, 2010).
205 Individual policies generally include citation information, the data period, and short notices
206 about the usage of the catalog. All of the policies are summarized in the database.

207

208 **Underlying issues and future updates of the database**

209 The *Slow Earthquake Database* compiles a variety of slow earthquake catalogs from a
210 number of sources, and the information provided in each catalog differs from one source to
211 another. For example, the source locations of LFEs, tremor, and VLFs are estimated as
212 corresponding to point sources. In contrast, those of SSEs are estimated as finite faults.
213 Therefore, information about the source mechanism such as strike, dip, rake, length, width and
214 slip are included only in the SSE catalogs. This comes from the difference of event size and

215 duration of interest, or originally from the difference in the types of observations used for
216 identifying and characterizing the events. At the same time, the information may vary, even
217 within the same category of slow earthquakes. For example, while the LFE catalog provided
218 by JMA determines the magnitude of events, the LFE catalog in Nakamura (2017) does not.
219 To date, our database does not clearly indicate which information is provided in each catalog;
220 such information, however, will be included in a near future. The quality of catalogs also varies,
221 depending on the detection method, which ranges from fully automatic to manual detection. In
222 addition, the time periods covered by each catalog can significantly differ. We are planning to
223 incorporate such information to the webpage in the future.

224 There are also several issues specific to the catalogs of particular types of slow
225 earthquakes that are currently not fully addressed in our database. For one, LFEs are often
226 detected based on template matching, which identifies new events by comparing the similarity
227 between observed waveforms and those of a template event. In the near future, the database
228 will provide catalogs that have been determined by such methods (e.g., Bostock *et al.*, 2015);
229 such catalogs, however, are not currently included in the database. As this type of catalog
230 consists of overlapping locations corresponding to events detected using the same template,
231 visualization on Google Maps would be difficult. In addition, the unified format does not
232 include information for template events. Therefore, we are preparing a “bulk download” page
233 that will provide original catalogs including the template information. This page will be an
234 addition to the download page with the unified format mentioned in this paper. As this issue
235 could be problematic for other categories of slow earthquakes, we will treat it in the same way.

236 Tremor catalogs are roughly divided into two types in terms of duration. Since tremor
237 can be observed as a continuous signal, the definition of tremor duration is somewhat complex.
238 Some catalogs estimate one source location based on recorded waveforms within a short period
239 (e.g., one minute). Clustering catalogs, however, treat estimated source locations of tremor as

240 one or two centroid location during a longer period such as one hour. While the former catalogs
241 enable us to examine shorter time-scale tremor activity, the latter ones can be used for
242 investigating only longer time-scale tremor activity. Users should be mindful of such issues in
243 handling tremor catalogs and are advised to consult the corresponding references.

244 At the stage of the initial release of the database, our database provided only SSE
245 catalogs that represented the source of an SSE as a single rectangular fault. After all, the
246 formatting and visualization of slip distributions for SSEs, including the temporal evolution of
247 slips, is a complex issue currently under discussion. SSE catalogs that include fault slip
248 distribution and temporal evolution will be available for download in the “bulk download” page
249 in their original format.

250 The database sometimes faces a problem when users attempt to plot or download a large
251 number of catalogs. The maximum number of catalogs that can download from the database in
252 one time depends on the selected format, and will be notified in the database.

253 Although users who wish to share new catalogs must contact us before including it in
254 the database, we plan to construct a semi-automatic system for uploading catalogs to the
255 database in the future. Newly submitted as well as automatically updated catalogs will be
256 released on a monthly basis.

257

258 **Summary**

259 We have constructed the *Slow Earthquake Database* by compiling a wide variety of
260 seismically and geodetically detected catalogs on slow earthquakes from the peer-reviewed
261 papers and institutional reports and converted their original formats to a unified format. Based
262 on the agreement of the corresponding authors of the original catalogs, we converted and stored
263 the catalogs in a single repository. Users can download the multiple catalogs in either the

264 unified format or their preferred format. This database is available all users as long as they
265 follow the general policy and the individual policy of each catalog. In addition, users can
266 visualize the source distribution in Google Maps before downloading the data, which assures
267 users that events have occurred during the selected time span.

268 The constructed database enables users to find where, when, and what type of slow
269 earthquakes have occurred. Comparisons of catalogs, especially comparisons between
270 seismically and geodetically detected slow earthquakes, will promote a more comprehensive
271 understanding of slow earthquake activity such as the spatial relationship among different types
272 of slow earthquakes and regional differences among slow earthquake activity. Such
273 comparison can also help researchers characterize the differences among source locations
274 found by various detection methods. Another advantage of the database is that users can
275 download multiple catalogs as a single compiled catalog in the unified or preferred format. The
276 unified catalog contains references to the original catalogs so that users can refer to them for
277 more detailed information. As a result of such standardization, researchers will find it more
278 convenient to access the findings of previous studies, which will promote research on slow
279 earthquakes that may foster future collaboration among researchers from various fields and
280 further our understanding of the mechanisms, environmental conditions, and underlying
281 physics of slow earthquakes. Furthermore, we expect that the database will play a leading role
282 in establishing a global standard of slow earthquake catalogs. In cooperation with many
283 researchers, we are now compiling more catalogs, which will result in a more and more
284 comprehensive database.

285

286 **Data and resources**

287 The *Slow Earthquake Database* is available at [http://www-solid.eps.s.u-](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/)
288 [tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/](http://www-solid.eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sloweq/) (last accessed January 25, 2018) and open to everyone as long as users

289 follow the general policy of our database and the individual policy of each catalog. The most
290 recent update of the database was on December 4, 2017, when this paper was submitted. If
291 users have any feedback or comments, or wish to share their catalogs, they should contact
292 sloweq-ctlg-hq@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

293

294 **Acknowledgments**

295 We thank Dr. Aaron Wech, an anonymous reviewer, and Dr. Zhigang Peng, the editor,
296 for constructive comments about how to improve the manuscript and the database. The *Slow*
297 *Earthquake Database* is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H06472,
298 JP16H06473, JP16H06474, JP16H06476, JP16H06477, JP16K21728 in Scientific Research
299 on Innovative Areas “Science of Slow Earthquakes.”

300

301 **References**

- 302 Annoura, S., K. Obara, and T. Maeda (2016). Total energy of deep low-frequency tremor in
303 the Nankai subduction zone, southwest Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 2562–2567.
- 304 Arai, R. *et al.* (2016). Structure of the tsunamigenic plate boundary and low-frequency
305 earthquakes in the southern Ryukyu Trench, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12255.
- 306 Baba, S., A. Takeo, K. Obara, A. Kato, and T. Matsuzawa (2018). Temporal activity
307 modulation of deep very low frequency earthquakes in Shikoku, southwest Japan.
308 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **45**, doi:10.1002/2017GL076122.
- 309 Bird, P. (2003). An updated digital model of plate boundaries. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **4**.
- 310 Bostock, M. G., A. M. Thomas, G. Savard, L. Chuang, and A. M. Rubin (2015). Magnitudes
311 and moment-duration scaling of low-frequency earthquakes beneath southern Vancouver
312 Island, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **120**, 6329–6350, doi:10.1002/2015JB012195.

313 Chao, K., and K. Obara (2016). Triggered tectonic tremor in various types of fault Systems of
314 Japan following the 2012 M_w 8.6 Sumatra earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **121**, 170–187.

315 Chao, K., Z. Peng, A. Fabian, and L. Ojha (2012a). Comparisons of triggered tremor in
316 California, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **102**, 900–908.

317 Chao, K., Z. Peng, C. Wu, C.-C. Tang, and C.-H. Lin (2012b). Remote triggering of non-
318 volcanic tremor around Taiwan, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **188**(1), 301–324.

319 Chao, K., Z. Peng, H. Gonzalez-Huizar, C. Aiken, B. Enescu, H. Kao, A. A. Velasco, K. Obara,
320 and T. Matsuzawa (2013). A global search for triggered tremor following the 2011 M_w
321 9.0 Tohoku earthquake, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **103**(2b), 1551–1571.

322 Chao, K., Z. Peng, Y.-J. Hsu, K. Obara, C. Wu, K.-E. Ching, S. van der Lee, H.-C. Pu, P.-L.
323 Leu, and A. Wech (2017). Temporal variation of tectonic tremor activity in southern
324 Taiwan around the 2010 M_L 6.4 Jiashian earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **122**, 5417–5434.

325 Heki, K. and T. Kataoka (2008). On the biannually repeating slow-slip events at the Ryukyu
326 Trench, southwestern Japan, *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**, B11402.

327 Hirose, H., K. Hirahara, F. Kimata, N. Fujii, and S. Miyazaki (1999). A slow thrust slip event
328 following the two 1996 Hyuganada earthquakes beneath the Bungo Channel, southwest
329 Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **26**, 3237–3240.

330 Ide, S., G. C. Beroza, D. R. Shelly, and T. Uchide (2007). A scaling law for slow earthquakes,
331 *Nature* **447**, 76–79.

332 Ide, S (2010). Striations, duration, migration and tidal response in deep tremor, *Nature* **466**,
333 356-U105.

334 Ide, S (2012). Variety and spatial heterogeneity of tectonic tremor worldwide, *J. Geophys. Res.*
335 **117**, B03302.

336 Idehara, K., S. Yabe, and S. Ide, (2014). Regional and global variations in the temporal
337 clustering of tectonic tremor activity, *Earth Planets Space* **66:66**.

338 Imanishi, K., T. Uchide, and N. Takeda (2016). Determination of focal mechanisms of
339 nonvolcanic tremor using S wave polarization data corrected for the effects of anisotropy,
340 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **43**, 611–619, doi:10.1002/2015GL067249.

341 Itaba, S., and R. Ando (2011). A slow slip event triggered by teleseismic surface waves,
342 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**, L21306, doi:10.1029/2011GL049593.

343 Ito, Y., K. Obara, K. Shiomi, S. Sekine, and H. Hirose (2007). Slow earthquakes coincident
344 with episodic tremors and slow slip events, *Science* **315**, 503–506.

345 Ito, Y., K. Obara, T. Matsuzawa, T. Maeda (2009). Very low frequency earthquakes related to
346 small asperities on the plate boundary interface at the locked to aseismic transition, *J.*
347 *Geophys. Res.* **114**, B00A13.

348 Ito, Y. *et al.* (2013). Episodic slow slip events in the Japan subduction zone before the 2011
349 Tohoku-Oki earthquake, *Tectonophysics* **600**, 14–26.

350 Ito, Y., R. Hino, S. Suzuki, and Y. Kaneda (2015). Episodic tremor and slip near the Japan
351 Trench prior to the 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 1725–1731.

352 Katsumata, A. and N. Kamaya (2003). Low-frequency continuous tremor around the Moho
353 discontinuity away from volcanoes in the southwest Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**,
354 doi:10.1029/2002GL015981.

355 Maeda, T. and K. Obara (2009). Spatio-temporal distribution of seismic energy radiation from
356 low-frequency tremor in western Shikoku, Japan, *J. Geophys. Res.* **114**, B00A09.

357 Matsuzawa, T., Y. Asano, and K. Obara (2015). Very low-frequency earthquakes off the
358 Pacific coast of Tohoku, Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 4318–4325.

359 Nakamura, M. and N. Sunagawa (2015). Activation of very low frequency earthquakes by slow
360 slip events in the Ryukyu Trench, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 1076–1082.

361 Nakamura, M. (2017). Distribution of low-frequency earthquakes accompanying the very low
362 frequency earthquakes along the Ryukyu Trench, *Earth Planets Space* **69:49**.

363 Nishimura, T., T. Matsuzawa, and K. Obara (2013). Detection of short-term slow slip events,
364 along the Nankai Trough, southwest Japan, using GNSS data, *J. Geophys. Res* **118**, 3112–
365 3125.

366 Nishimura, T. (2014). Short-term slow slip events along the Ryukyu Trench, southwestern
367 Japan, observed by continuous GNSS, *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* **1**, 22.

368 Obana, K. and S. Kodaira (2009). Low-frequency tremors associated with reverse faults in a
369 shallow accretionary prism, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **287**, 168–174.

370 Obara, K. (2002). Nonvolcanic deep tremor associated with subduction in southwest Japan,
371 *Science* **296**, 1679–1681.

372 Obara, K., S. Tanaka, T. Maeda, and T. Matsuzawa (2010). Depth-dependent activity of non-
373 volcanic tremor in southwest Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L13306.

374 Obara, K. and A. Kato (2016). Connecting slow earthquakes to huge earthquakes, *Science* **353**,
375 253–257.

376 Ohta, K., and S. Ide (2017). Resolving the detailed spatiotemporal slip evolution of deep tremor
377 in western Japan, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **122**, doi:10.1002/2017JB014494.

378 Okada, Y. (1992). Internal deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space, *Bull.*
379 *Seismol. Soc. Am.* **82**, 1018–1040.

380 Peng, Z. and J. Gomberg (2010). An integrated perspective of the continuum between
381 earthquakes and slow-slip phenomena, *Nat. Geosci.* **3**, 599–607.

382 Rogers, G. and H. Dragert (2003). Episodic tremor and slip on the Cascadia subduction zone:
383 The chatter of silent slip, *Science* **300**, 1942–1943.

384 Rousset, B., M. Campillo, C. Lasserre, W. B. Frank, N. Cotte, A. Walpersdorf, A. Socquet, and
385 V. Kostoglodov (2017). A geodetic matched filter search for slow slip with application to
386 the Mexico subduction zone, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **122**, 10,498–10,514,
387 doi:10.1002/2017JB014448.

388 Sekine, S., H. Hirose, and K. Obara (2010). Along-strike variations in short-term slow slip
389 events in the southwest Japan subduction zone, *J. Geophys. Res.* **115**, B00A27.

390 Shelly, D. R., G. C. Beroza, and S. Ide (2006). Low-frequency earthquakes in Shikoku, Japan,
391 and their relationship to episodic tremor and slip, *Nature* **442**, 188–191.

392 Sugioka, H. *et al.* (2012). Tsunamigenic potential of the shallow subduction plate boundary
393 inferred from slow seismic slip, *Nature Geosci.* **5**, 414–418.

394 Takagi, R., K. Obara, and T. Maeda (2016). Slow slip event within a gap between tremor and
395 locked zones in the Nankai subduction zone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 1066–1074.

396 Takeo, A. *et al.* (2010). Very broadband analysis of a swarm of very low frequency earthquakes
397 and tremors beneath Kii Peninsula, SW Japan, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L06311.

398 Tu, Y. and K. Heki (2017). Decadal modulation of repeating slow slip event activity in the
399 southwestern Ryukyu Arc possibly driven by rifting episodes at the Okinawa Trough,
400 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **44**, 9308–9313.

401 Uchida, N., and T. Matsuzawa (2013). Pre- and postseismic slow slip surrounding the 2011
402 Tohoku-oki earthquake rupture, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **374**, 81–91.

403 Wech, A. G. and K. C. Creager (2008). Automated detection and location of Cascadia tremor,
404 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35**, L20302 (2008).

405 Wech, A. G. (2010). Interactive tremor monitoring. *Seismol. Res. Lett.* **81**, 664–669.

406 Yamashita, Y. *et al.* (2015). Migrating tremor off southern Kyushu as evidence for slow slip
407 of a shallow subduction interface, *Science* **348**, 676–679.

408

409 **Full mail address for each author**

410 Masayuki Kano: masayuki.kano.a3@tohoku.ac.jp

411 Naofumi Aso: aso@eps.sci.titech.ac.jp

412 Takanori Matsuzawa: tkmatsu@bosai.go.jp

413 Satoshi Ide: ide@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp
414 Satoshi Annoura: annouras3@gmail.com
415 Ryuta Arai: ryuta@jamstec.go.jp
416 Satoru Baba: babasatoru@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp
417 Michael Bostock: bostock@eoas.ubc.ca
418 Kevin Chao: kchao@northwestern.edu
419 Kosuke Heki: heki@sci.hokudai.ac.jp
420 Satoshi Itaba: itaba-s@aist.go.jp
421 Yoshihiro Ito: ito.yoshihiro.4w@kyoto-u.ac.jp
422 Noriko Kamaya: kamaya@met.kishou.go.jp
423 Takuto Maeda: maeda@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp
424 Julie Maury: J.Maury@brgm.fr
425 Mamoru Nakamura: mnaka@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp
426 Takuya Nishimura: nishimura.takuya.4s@kyoto-u.ac.jp
427 Koichiro Obana: obanak@jamstec.go.jp
428 Kazuaki Ohta: ohta.kazuaki.7e@kyoto-u.ac.jp
429 Natalia Poiata: poiata@ipgp.fr
430 Baptiste Rousset: rousset@berkeley.edu
431 Hiroko Sugioka: hikari@pearl.kobe-u.ac.jp
432 Ryota Takagi: ryota.takagi.c1@tohoku.ac.jp
433 Tsutomu Takahashi: ttaka@jamstec.go.jp
434 Akiko Takeo: akiko-t@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp
435 Yoko Tu: yokoemma.jp@gmail.com
436 Naoki Uchida: naoki.uchida.b6@tohoku.ac.jp
437 Yusuke Yamashita: yamac@rcep.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

438 Kazushige Obara: obara@eri.u-tokyo.ac.jp

439

440 **List of Figure Captions**

441 Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the database construction.

442

443 Figure 2. Screenshot of the webpage showing (a) database selection and the Policy tab for the
444 catalog references and policies, (b) interactive map and (c) download sections. Contents related
445 to labels shown by the red characters are mentioned in the main text.

446

447 Figure 3. Example of the downloaded CSV format, which lists (a) occurrence times (columns
448 A–I), source locations (columns J–L), (b) source mechanisms (columns M–Z), (c) source
449 uncertainties in both time and space (columns AA–AF), and the notices in the catalog (columns
450 AG–AM). A description of each column is summarized in the “Data Format” on the website
451 (F in Fig. 2c). The properties that are not included in the original catalog remain to be blank.

452

453 Figure 4. (a) General policy and (b) an example of the individual policy, from the NIED-
454 Tremor catalog.

455

456 **Table**

457 **Table 1. Catalogs in the *Slow Earthquake Database* available December 4, 2017.**

458 *Source locations are not estimated.

459 “+” in the Time Span indicates that the catalog will be updated.

Category	Name	Region	Time Span	Observations used for source estimation	Reference(s)
LFE	Arai2016_ECM	Japan	2013-2014	Envelope waveform	Arai <i>et al.</i> , 2016
	Arai2016_tomoDD	Japan	2014	P and S arrival times	
	JMA	Japan	1999-2017+	P and S arrival times	Katsumata and Kamaya, 2003
	Nakamura2017_ECM	Japan	2004-2016	Envelope waveform	Nakamura, 2017
	Nakamura2017_ECM+SP	Japan	2004-2016	Envelope waveform + S-P time	
Tremor	Annoura2016	Japan	2004-2015	Envelope waveform	Annoura <i>et al.</i> , 2016
	NIED	Japan	2001-2017+	Envelope waveform + Average squared amplitude	Maeda and Obara, 2009 Obara <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Obana2009	Japan	2003	Envelope waveform	Obana and Kodaira, 2009
	WTD-Cascadia	Cascadia	2005-2014	Envelope waveform	Idehara <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	WTD-Chile	Chile	2005-2007		
	WTD-Kyushu	Japan	2004-2013		
	WTD-Mexico	Mexico	2005-2007, 2009-2013		
	WTD-Nankai	Japan	2004-2013		
	WTD-NewZealand	New Zealand	2004-2012		
	WTD-Parkfield	San Andreas	2005-2012		
	WTD-Taiwan	Taiwan	2006-2009		
	Yamashita2015	Japan	2013	Envelope waveform	Yamashita <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Yoshilto2015	Japan	2011	N/A*	Ito <i>et al.</i> , 2015
VLF	Matsuzawa2015	Japan	2005-2013	Maximum amplitude of surface waves	Matsuzawa <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Nakamura2015	Japan	2002-2014	Full waveform	Nakamura and Sunagawa, 2015
	Sugioka2012	Japan	2008-2009	Full waveform	Sugioka <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	Takeo2010	Japan	2008	Full waveform	Takeo <i>et al.</i> 2010
	Yoshilto2009	Japan	2003-2008	Full waveform	Ito <i>et al.</i> 2009
	SSE	Nishimura2013	Japan	1996-2012	GNSS displacement
Nishimura2014		Japan	1997-2013	GNSS displacement	Nishimura, 2014
Sekine2010		Japan	2001-2008	Tilt change	Sekine <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Takagi2016		Japan	2004-2013	GNSS displacement	Takagi <i>et al.</i> 2016
Tu2017		Japan	1997-2016	GNSS displacement	Heki and Kataoka, 2008 Tu and Heki, 2017
Yoshilto2013		Japan	2008-2011	Strain and pressure change	Ito <i>et al.</i> , 2013