

# Evidence for Ballistic Thermal Conduction in the One-Dimensional Spin System $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$

N. Takahashi\*, T. Kawamata\*<sup>†</sup>, T. Adachi\*<sup>†</sup>, T. Noji\*<sup>†</sup>, Y. Koike\*<sup>†</sup>,  
K. Kudo\*\* and N. Kobayashi\*\*

\*Department of Applied Physics, Tohoku University, 6-6-05, Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

<sup>†</sup>CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST)

\*\*Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Katahira 2-1-1, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

**Abstract.** We have measured the thermal conductivity along the  $b$  axis,  $\kappa_b$ , parallel to the one-dimensional (1D) spin chain of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$  single crystals including nonmagnetic impurities of  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$ , in order to investigate possible ballistic thermal conduction. It has been found that the mean free path of spinons estimated from the thermal conductivity due to spinons, which is obtained by subtracting the thermal conductivity due to phonons from  $\kappa_b$  using the Debye model, is comparable with the average length of finite spin chains between spin defects estimated from the magnetic susceptibility measurements. This proves that the thermal conduction due to spinons at low temperatures in the 1D spin system  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  is ballistic.

**Keywords:** Thermal conductivity, Low-dimensional quantum spin system, Impurity effects

**PACS:** 66.70.+f, 75.40.Gb

Recently, thermal conductivity in low-dimensional quantum spin systems has attracted interest, because a large thermal conductivity due to spin excitations has been found in various materials [1–4]. In particular, in one-dimensional (1D) integrable Hamiltonian spin systems with the spin quantum number  $S = 1/2$ , it has theoretically been predicted that the thermal conduction due to spinons is ballistic [5, 6]. In fact, it has been reported that the thermal conductivity due to spinons,  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$ , is large in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  with 1D  $S = 1/2$  chains [3, 4] and this compound can be regarded as an ideal 1D antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain system. If the thermal conduction is ballistic, it is expected that the mean free path of spinons,  $l_{\text{sp}}$ , changes according to the length of finite spin chains.

In this paper, in order to confirm the ballistic nature of  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$ , we have measured the thermal conductivity along the  $b$  axis parallel to the 1D spin chain,  $\kappa_b$ , for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$  ( $x = 0, 0.004, 0.010$ ) single crystals including nonmagnetic impurities of  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$ . We then compared the value of  $l_{\text{sp}}$  estimated from  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  with the average length of finite spin chains, between spin defects, estimated from the magnetic susceptibility measurements.

Single crystals of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$  ( $x = 0, 0.004, 0.010$ ) were grown by the traveling-solvent floating-zone method and then were annealed at 870 °C for 72 h in Ar [7]. Thermal-conductivity measurements were carried out by the conventional steady-state method. The magnetic susceptibility was measured using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS-XL5).

Figure 1 shows the temperature dependence of  $\kappa_b$  in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$  ( $x = 0, 0.004, 0.010$ ). The temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity along the  $a$  axis

perpendicular to the spin chain,  $\kappa_a$ , of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  is also shown in the inset of Fig. 1. For  $x = 0$ ,  $\kappa_a$  increases with decreasing temperature and exhibits a peak at  $\sim 25$  K. This is a typical behavior of the thermal conductivity due to phonons,  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$ . On the other hand,  $\kappa_b$  increases with decreasing temperature and exhibits a small shoulder due to  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  at around 70 K in addition to the peak due to  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  at  $\sim 25$  K. These behaviors are similar to previous results [3, 4]. For  $x = 0.004$  and 0.010, it is found that both peaks at  $\sim 25$  K and the small shoulder around 70 K are suppressed by  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$  doping. These results suggest that both phonons and spinons are scattered by  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$  so that their mean free paths become shorter.


First,  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  is estimated from the fit of the data of  $\kappa_a$  using the following equation based on the Debye model [8], as shown by the solid line in the inset of Fig. 1.

$$\kappa_{\text{ph}} = \frac{k_B}{2\pi^2 v_{\text{ph}}} \left( \frac{k_B T}{\hbar} \right)^3 \int_0^{\Theta_D/T} \frac{x^4 e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} \tau_{\text{ph}} dx, \quad (1)$$

where  $x = \hbar\omega/k_B T$ ,  $\omega$  is the phonon angular frequency,  $v_{\text{ph}}$  is the phonon velocity and  $\Theta_D$  is the Debye temperature. The phonon scattering rate  $\tau_{\text{ph}}^{-1}$  is assumed to be given by the summation of scattering rates due to various scattering processes as follows,

$$\tau_{\text{ph}}^{-1} = \frac{v_{\text{ph}}}{L_b} + A\omega^4 + B\omega^2 T \exp\left(-\frac{\Theta_D}{bT}\right), \quad (2)$$

where  $L_b$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $b$  are constants. The first term represents the phonon scattering by boundaries; the second, the phonon scattering by point defects; the third, the phonon-phonon scattering in the umklapp process. Here,  $\Theta_D$  is set to 470.8 K from our specific heat measurements



**FIGURE 1.** Temperature dependence of  $\kappa_b$  parallel to the spin chains of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$  with  $x = 0, 0.004, 0.010$ . The inset shows the temperature dependence of  $\kappa_a$  perpendicular to the spin chains of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$ . The solid lines are  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  estimated using the Debye model. The dashed lines are  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  obtained by subtracting  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  from  $\kappa_b$  for  $x = 0$  (upper), 0.004 (middle) and 0.010 (lower).

and  $v_{\text{ph}}$  is calculated as  $v_{\text{ph}} = \Theta_{\text{D}}(k_{\text{B}}/\hbar)(6\pi^2n)^{-1/3}$ , where  $n$  is the number density of atoms. On the other hand,  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  of the Pd-doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  is estimated by the fit to the data of  $\kappa_b$  at low temperatures below  $\sim 25$  K, adjusting  $L_b$  and  $A$ , which depend on the phonon scattering by boundaries and by point defects, respectively, under the assumption that the phonon-phonon scattering in the umklapp process is not affected by the small doping of  $\text{Pd}^{2+}$ . Then,  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  is estimated by subtracting the fitting curve of  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  from the data of  $\kappa_b$ , as shown by dashed lines in Fig. 1.

Next,  $l_{\text{sp}}$  is calculated from  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$ , using the following equation, namely, the product of the specific heat, the velocity and the mean free path of spinons based on the  $S = 1/2$  1D Heisenberg model [9, 10].

$$\kappa_{\text{sp}} = \frac{\pi N a k_{\text{B}}^2 T}{3\hbar} l_{\text{sp}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $N$  is the number of spins per unit volume and  $a$  is the distance between spins in the chain. The calculated values of  $l_{\text{sp}}^{-1}$  are fit to the following equation [3].

$$l_{\text{sp}}^{-1} = AT \exp(-T^*/T) + L^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

where  $A$ ,  $T^*$  and  $L$  are constants. The first term represents the scattering in the umklapp process and  $T^*$  is the characteristic temperature. The second term represents the temperature-independent spinon scattering by spin defects, etc.  $L$  is regarded as the upper-limit value of  $l_{\text{sp}}$  at low temperatures. The obtained values of  $L$  are listed in Table 1.

Finally, in order to estimate the average length of finite spin chains between spin defects,  $L_{\text{imp}}$ , the number

**TABLE 1.** Parameters estimated from the thermal conductivity and the magnetic susceptibility measurements in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Pd}_x\text{O}_3$ .

$x$	$x_{\text{Curie}}$	$L$ (Å)	$L_{\text{imp}}$ (Å)
0	0.0010	2107.8	1956.5
0.004	0.0026	821.4	752.6
0.010	0.0042	498.2	465.8

of free spins per Cu,  $x_{\text{Curie}}$ , is obtained from the Curie constant. This is estimated from the fit of the magnetic susceptibility data using the function given by the sum a Curie-Weiss term, a  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  spin term suggested by Eggert *et al.* [11], a Van Vleck paramagnetic term and a core diamagnetic term. The obtained values of  $x_{\text{Curie}}$  are roughly a half of  $x$  values for  $x = 0.004$  and 0.010, respectively, as listed in Table 1. This is reasonable, because one antiferromagnetic spin chain with an odd number of spins has a free spin of  $S = 1/2$  and one chain with an even number of spins exhibits  $S = 0$ . Consequently, one free spin is induced, on average, by two spin defects [12, 13]. Values of  $L_{\text{imp}}$  calculated from  $x_{\text{Curie}}$  are also listed in Table 1. Surprisingly, it is found that the values of  $L_{\text{imp}}$  are very close to those of  $L$ . In this analysis, since  $\kappa_{\text{ph}}$  is estimated using the data at low temperatures (below  $\sim 25$  K),  $\kappa_{\text{sp}}$  is underestimated to be zero in this temperature range. That is, the  $L$  value is underestimated. In any case, this means that  $l_{\text{sp}}$  at low temperatures is approximately limited by  $L_{\text{imp}}$ . That is, the thermal conduction due to spinons is limited at low temperatures only by scattering from spin defects. Accordingly, it is concluded that the thermal conduction due to spinons at low temperatures is ballistic.

Thermal-conductivity measurements were performed at the High Field Laboratory for Superconducting Materials, Institute for Material Research, Tohoku University. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. One of the authors (T. K.) was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

## REFERENCES

1. Y. Ando *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, R2913 (1998).
2. K. Kudo *et al.*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **70**, 437 (2001).
3. A. V. Sologubenko *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, R6108 (2000).
4. A. V. Sologubenko *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 054412 (2001).
5. K. Saito *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 2404 (1996).
6. X. Zotos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1764 (1999).
7. N. Motoyama *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3212 (1996).
8. R. Berman, *Thermal Conduction in Solids*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1976.
9. M. Takahashi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 1519 (1973).
10. J. des Cloizeaux *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 2131 (1962).
11. S. Eggert *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 332 (1994).
12. S. Eggert *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 047202 (2002).
13. K. M. Kojima *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 094402 (2004).